Camera Augmented Mobile C-Arm (CAMC): Calibration, Accuracy Study, and Clinical Applications

Tiffany Chung

Dr. Nassir Navab, Bernhard Fuerst,

Sing Chun Lee, Javad Fotouhi, Dan Adler

Project 6



Project Background and Objective

Orthopaedic surgeries are time intensive and require multiple images to ensure correct placement and direction of tools.

Research has been done to create a manual calibration algorithm, that creates an intra-operative mixed-reality visualization.

Goal is to automate the existing manual calibration process between CBCT scanner and RGBD camera.



Paper Selection

N. Navab, S. Heining and J. Traub, "Camera Augmented Mobile C-Arm (CAMC): Calibration, Accuracy Study, and Clinical Applications", IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 1412-1423, 2010.

The original paper for this augmented visualization concept using a double mirror system was by Dr. Nassir Navab's Computer Aided Medical Procedures (CAMP) group at Johns Hopkins University and Technische Universitat Munchen.



Problem

As explained in the project overview, the large number of x-ray images taken during orthopaedic surgeries result in high radiation dosage for the physicians and patient. However, they are necessary in guiding and confirming the surgeon's placement of tools and fixtures. Improved live visualization of the patient in orthopaedic surgery without the use of external markers would greatly reduce the number of x-rays and thus radiation dosage, also improving the overall surgical workflow.



Key Result and Significance

After successful calibration of the CBCT and RGBD using a double mirror construction, pre-clinical applications of this visualization in simulations and cadavers show promising results. The number of x-ray images required was dramatically reduced, and radiation time and dose was also considered to be less compared to the original procedure.



Significance

Project

N. Navab, S. Heining and J. Traub, "Camera Augmented Mobile C-Arm (CAMC): Calibration, Accuracy Study, and Clinical Applications", IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 1412-1423, 2010.

Background

Methods

Results

Review



System Overview

The CAMC system mounts a RGBD video camera onto a common intraoperative mobile C-arm with a double mirror system "such that the X-ray source and the camera optical center virtually coincide. To enable an image overlay of the video and X-ray image in real time a homography has to be estimated that maps the X-ray image onto the video image taking the relative position of the X-ray detector implicitly into account."



N. Navab, S. Heining and J. Traub, "Camera Augmented Mobile C-Arm (CAMC): Calibration, Accuracy Study, and Clinical Applications", IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 1412-1423, 2010.



System Calibration

Project

A one-time initial calibration is required to register the optical camera and x-ray. The three step calibration procedure first corrects distortion in each imaging, then aligns the two images, and finally estimates the homography for the image

overlay.



N. Navab, S. Heining and J. Traub, "Camera Augmented Mobile C-Arm (CAMC): Calibration, Accuracy Study, and Clinical Applications", IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 1412-1423, 2010.

Background

Methods

Results

Review

Significance

System Calibration - Step 1

Distortion Correction

Both the optical video camera and the X-ray imaging have distortions. The optical camera distortion is estimated and corrected using a nonlinear radial distortion model. The vendor provides look-up tables for geometrical X-ray distortion for most common poses of the C-arm.



System Calibration - Step 2

Alignment of X-Ray Source and Camera Optical Center

To align the x-ray source and camera optical center with the double mirror construction, markers are viewed in each modality then the video camera is adjusted until the pairs of markers align. A two-plane calibration pattern of rings and spherical markers such that upon perfect alignment, the spherical markers will be centered in the ring markers.



System Calibration - Step 3

Homography Estimation for Image Overlay

The homography H from the image of the video camera to the X-ray image allows visualization by superimposing the X-ray image onto the video image. H is computed by four to 16 corresponding points simultaneously detected by solving the linear equations system and QR Decomposition.



N. Navab, S. Heining and J. Traub, "Camera Augmented Mobile C-Arm (CAMC): Calibration, Accuracy Study, and Clinical Applications", IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 1412-1423, 2010.



Pre-Clinical Applications

Interlocking of Intramedullary Nails

Project

Significance

Background

Pedicle Screw Placement

Simulated Vertebroplasty



Sing Chun Lee et al. "Calibration of RGBD camera and cone-beam CT for 3D intraoperative mixed reality visualization". In: Int J CARS (2016). doi: 10.1007/s11548-016-1396-1.

Results

Review

Methods



Results - Accuracy Evaluation

A pattern used for geometrical X-ray calibration and distortion measurement is attached to the image intensifier to detect the accuracy of the image overlay. The markers of the pattern are visible in both Xray and video images, and the distances between the corresponding points were calculated. The mean error was calculated to be approximately 0.5mm on the plane of the calibration pattern. An accurate image overlay required per-pose re-estimation of the homography.



N. Navab, S. Heining and J. Traub, "Camera Augmented Mobile C-Arm (CAMC): Calibration, Accuracy Study, and Clinical Applications", IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 1412-1423, 2010.



Results - Marker Tracking Evaluation

Significance

Project

A mechanical device was rigidly attached to the detector plane and moved in 0.5 mm steps to detect the accuracy of marker detection. The results indicated that movement of 1mm or more could be detected. The threshold for non-valid overlay was set to 1.5 pixels to alert the surgeon of misalignment.

	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5
overall duration	15:00	20:10	17:00	9:15	14:46
overall radiation	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.5
setup time	2:31	0.38	0:20	0:45	2:33
insertion time	8:05	14:32	13:00	5:30	5:11
filling time	4:24	5:00	3:40	3:00	7:02
setup radiation	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
insertion radiation	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2
filling radiation	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
placement cat.	A	A	A	В	С

N. Navab, S. Heining and J. Traub, "Camera Augmented Mobile C-Arm (CAMC): Calibration, Accuracy Study, and Clinical Applications", IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 1412-1423, 2010.

Methods

Results

Review

Background



Results - Radiation Dose Evaluation

It is important to note the CAMC configuration, the source is oriented above the table. The table absorbs 30% of the radiation in standard configurations but the upside-down configuration provides a slightly increased distance between the patient and X-ray source. The radiation dose was evaluated to be 31 microGrays, within acceptable values of local standards.





Conclusions

Pros:

- Thorough explanations of motivation, medical procedures, and system setup
- Clear and helpful diagrams
- Beneficial explanation of the three step calibration

<u>Cons:</u>

- Lacked robust testing of the calibration accuracy
- No comparison to similar methods, or clinical standard for margin of error
- Results of the pre-clinical applications was not quantitative
- Could have used explicit surgeon feedback and suggestions for improvement



Next Steps

This proof of concept has since been improved and expanded to the current project, which now does not require the double mirror construction, nor the markers. It was very helpful to understand the origin of the current project, and many of the other papers mentioned in the background have provided a starting ground for ways to improve the current calibration. The accuracy evaluation process discussed can be used for the current project as well.



Questions?



Reading List

Project

Significance

Besl PJ, McKay ND. A Method for Registration of 3-D Shapes. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, February 1992.

Fischer M, Fuerst B, Lee SC, Fotouhi J, Habert S, Weidert S, Euler E, Osgood G, Navab N. Pre-Clinical Usability Study of Multiple Augmented Reality Concepts for K-Wire Placement. International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery / International Conference on Information Processing in Computer-Assisted Interventions (IPCAI), Heidelberg, June 2016.

Lee SC, Fuerst B, Fotouhi J, Fischer M, Osgood G, Navab N. Calibration of RGBD Camera and Cone-Beam CT for 3D Intra-operative Mixed Reality Visualization. International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery / International Conference on Information Processing in Computer-Assisted Interventions (IPCAI), Heidelberg, June 2016.

Rusu RB, Cousins S. 3D is here: Point Cloud Library (PCL). IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), Shanghai, China, May 2011.

Schroeder W, Martin KM, Lorensen WE. 1998. The Visualization Toolkit (2nd Ed.): An Object-Oriented Approach to 3D Graphics. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA.

Torr, P., Zisserman, A.: Mlesac: A new robust estimator with application to estimating image geometry. Computer Vision and Image Understanding 78(1), 138 – 156 (2000). DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/cviu.1999.0832. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1077314299908329

Wahl E, Hillenbrand U, Hirzinger G. Surflet-Pair-Relation Histograms: A Statistical 3D-Shape Representation for Rapid Classification. IEEE 3-D Digital Imaging and Modeling, Banff, Alta, October 2003.

Yoo TS, Ackerman MJ,Lorensen WE, Schroeder W, Chalana V, Aylward S, Metaxas D, Whitaker R. Engineering and Algorithm Design for an Image Processing API: A Technical Report on ITK - The Insight Toolkit. In Proc. of Medicine Meets Virtual Reality, J. Westwood, ed., IOS Press Amsterdam pp 586-592 (2002).

Background

Methods

Results

Review