



Dynamic x-ray beam positioning for low-dose CT

Computer Integrated Surgery II Seminar Presentation

William Shyr Mentor: J. Web Stayman Ph.D.

Advanced Imaging Algorithms & Instrumentation Laboratory





Project Overview

- Patient often miscentered within bore of a CT system
- Increased dose and loss in image quality
- Fluence field modulation strategies

Goal

To achieve dynamic x-ray beam positioning in low-dose CT acquisitions and quantitative performance assessment for arbitrary patient positioning in emergency medicine applications



Bowtie filters



Multiple aperture device (MAD)

Toth et. al., Med. Phys., 2007







Gies, M., Kalender, W. A., Wolf, H., Suess, C. and Madsen, M. T. (1999), Dose reduction in CT by anatomically adapted tube current modulation. I. Simulation studies. Med. Phys., 26: 2235–2247. doi:10.1118/1.598779.

- Describes techniques for dose reduction
- Methods for modeling and measuring dose and noise





Goal

Show that attenuation-based current modulation system can in fact yield significant dose reduction without loss of image quality

Dose reduction methods

- Lowering tube current
- Adapt tube current to x-ray attenuation with projection angle
- Sinusoidal modulation







Attenuation $A = e^{\mu \cdot L}$ Control parameter α $A^{\alpha} = e^{\alpha \cdot \mu L}$ Pixel noise variance $\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_P} \frac{1}{N_i}$ number of quanta in view *i* passed through object

Primary intensity (rel. u.) 0.5 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 (a) Angle of rotation in degrees 50 no modulation quare root Noise in projection 10 150 200 250 100 300 350 50 (C) Angle of rotation in degrees

quare root



Can be shown that $\alpha = 0.5$ optimal





Pixel noise variance $A_{i} = \frac{N_{0i}}{N_{i}}$ $\sigma_{P}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{P}} \sigma_{i}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{P}} \frac{1}{N_{i}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{P}} \frac{A_{i}}{N_{0i}}$

Attenuation in view *i*

number of quanta in view *i* passed through object number of emitted quanta for the central ray in view *i*





Pixel noise variance with control parameter α

$$\sigma_P^2 = \frac{1}{N_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_P} A_i^{1-\alpha} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_P} A_i^{\alpha} \right)$$

- Total number of quanta per scan limited
- Invest in highattenuation projections lead to high noise for low-attenuation projections
- Noise more homogeneous but higher noise





Methods



AIAI Lab





Simulations

- Constant attenuation coefficient μ
- 120 kVp
- Measure nose reduction as the amount of total scan dose that could be reduced without losing image quality





Methods







Results: Noise Reduction

Pixel noise variance with control parameter α

$$\sigma_P^2 = \frac{1}{N_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_P} A_i^{1-\alpha} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_P} A_i^{\alpha} \right)$$







Results: Noise Reduction



Potential for noise reduction depends on object shape $A_{max} = e^{\mu d_{min}}$

$$H = \frac{A_{max}}{A_{min}} = \frac{e^{\mu max}}{e^{\mu d_{max}}} = e^{\mu (d_{max} - d_{min})}$$



• Pixel noise highest with streaky structure

- $\alpha = 0$
- SD = 16.3 HU
- Lowest noise
 - *α* =0.5
 - SD = 11.1 HU
- Pixel noise becomes more isotropic as α increases
 - $\alpha = 1$
 - SD = 15.5 HU



Results: Noise Structure





Assessment

Pros

- Detailed discussion of noise and dose calculations
- Developed a framework for dose and noise assessment for different objects

Cons

- Only focused on central ray
- Seems to suggest that flat fluence is not the best way to minimize noise
- Results obtained through simulations





Relevance & Takeaways

- Detailed discussion of noise and dose measurements
- Optimizing fluence profile to minimize noise and dose

