


# Cartesian Coordinates, Points, and Transformations

CIS - 600.455/655


Russell Taylor




1




3




4



5



6



7

More correctly, this would be  $F_{\text{Base,Wrist}}$

Question: What value of  $F_{\text{Wrist}}$  will make  $F_{\text{Tip}} = F_{\text{Target}}$  ?

Answer:

$$F_{\text{Wrist}} = F_{\text{Target}} \bullet I \bullet F_{\text{WT}}^{-1}$$

$$= F_{\text{Target}} \bullet F_{\text{WT}}^{-1}$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics

8

**Notational Note**  
We use the notation  $A \bullet B$  to represent composition or transformation. Where the context is clear, we may also use  $AB$  for the same thing.

Question: What value of  $F_{\text{Wrist}}$  will make  $F_{\text{Tip}} = F_{\text{Target}}$  ?

Answer:


$$F_{\text{Wrist}} = F_{\text{Target}} \bullet I \bullet F_{\text{WT}}^{-1}$$

$$= F_{\text{Target}} \bullet F_{\text{WT}}^{-1}$$


Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics

9




10



11

**But: We must find  $F_{CT}$  ... Let's review some math**



Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes


JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



12

## Coordinate Frame Transformation

$$F = [R, p]$$




Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes


JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics




13




14



15




16




17







18



19



20



21

## Composition

Assume  $F_1 = [R_1, \vec{p}_1]$ ,  $F_2 = [R_2, \vec{p}_2]$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1 \bullet F_2 \bullet \vec{b} &= F_1 \bullet (F_2 \bullet \vec{b}) \\
 &= F_1 \bullet (R_2 \bullet \vec{b} + \vec{p}_2) \\
 &= [R_1, \vec{p}_1] \bullet (R_2 \bullet \vec{b} + \vec{p}_2) \\
 &= R_1 \bullet (R_2 \bullet \vec{b} + \vec{p}_2) + \vec{p}_1 \\
 &= R_1 \bullet R_2 \bullet \vec{b} + R_1 \bullet \vec{p}_2 + \vec{p}_1 \\
 &= [R_1 \bullet R_2, R_1 \bullet \vec{p}_2 + \vec{p}_1] \bullet \vec{b}
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1 \bullet F_2 &= [R_1, \vec{p}_1] \bullet [R_2, \vec{p}_2] \\
 &= [R_1 \bullet R_2, R_1 \vec{p}_2 + \vec{p}_1]
 \end{aligned}$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics




22

## Vectors

$$\vec{v}_{col} = \begin{bmatrix} v_x \\ v_y \\ v_z \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{v}_{row} = \begin{bmatrix} v_x & v_y & v_z \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\text{length: } \|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2}$$

$$\text{dot product: } a = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{w} = (v_x w_x + v_y w_y + v_z w_z) = \|\vec{v}\| \|\vec{w}\| \cos \theta$$

$$\text{cross product: } \vec{u} = \vec{v} \times \vec{w} = \begin{bmatrix} v_y w_z - v_z w_y \\ v_z w_x - v_x w_z \\ v_x w_y - v_y w_x \end{bmatrix}, \|\vec{u}\| = \|\vec{v}\| \|\vec{w}\| \sin \theta$$

Slide acknowledgment: Sarah Graham and Andy Bzostek

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



23

## Matrix representation of cross product operator

Define

$$\hat{\vec{a}} = skew(\vec{a}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_z & a_y \\ a_z & 0 & -a_x \\ -a_y & a_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$\vec{a} \times \vec{v} = skew(\vec{a}) \bullet \vec{v}$$



## Rotations: Some Notation

$Rot(\vec{a}, \alpha)$  = Rotation by angle  $\alpha$  about axis  $\vec{a}$

$\mathbf{R}_{\vec{a}}(\alpha)$  = Rotation by angle  $\alpha$  about axis  $\vec{a}$

$$\mathbf{R}(\vec{a}) = Rot(\vec{a}, \|\vec{a}\|)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{xyz}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \mathbf{R}(\vec{x}, \alpha) \bullet \mathbf{R}(\vec{y}, \beta) \bullet \mathbf{R}(\vec{z}, \gamma)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{zyz}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \mathbf{R}(\vec{z}, \alpha) \bullet \mathbf{R}(\vec{y}, \beta) \bullet \mathbf{R}(\vec{z}, \gamma)$$



## Rotations: A few useful facts

$$Rot(s\vec{a}, \alpha) \bullet \vec{a} = \vec{a} \quad \text{and} \quad \|Rot(\vec{a}, \alpha) \bullet \vec{b}\| = \|\vec{b}\|$$

$$Rot(\vec{a}, \alpha) = Rot(\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \alpha) \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{\vec{a}}{\|\vec{a}\|}$$

NOTE: Unless otherwise stated, we will usually assume that  $\vec{a}$  in  $Rot(\vec{a}, \theta)$  is a unit vector. I.e.,  $\|\vec{a}\|=1$ .

$$Rot(\vec{a}, \alpha) \bullet Rot(\vec{a}, \beta) = Rot(\vec{a}, \alpha + \beta)$$

$$Rot(\vec{a}, \alpha)^{-1} = Rot(\vec{a}, -\alpha)$$

$$Rot(\vec{a}, 0) \bullet \vec{b} = \vec{b} \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad Rot(\vec{a}, 0) = \mathbf{I}_{Rot} = \text{the identity rotation}$$

$$Rot(\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \alpha) \bullet \vec{b} = (\hat{\mathbf{a}} \bullet \vec{b})\hat{\mathbf{a}} + Rot(\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \alpha) \bullet (\vec{b} - (\hat{\mathbf{a}} \bullet \vec{b})\hat{\mathbf{a}})$$

$$Rot(\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \alpha) \bullet Rot(\hat{\mathbf{b}}, \beta) = Rot(\hat{\mathbf{b}}, \beta) \bullet Rot(Rot(\hat{\mathbf{b}}, -\beta) \bullet \hat{\mathbf{a}}, \alpha)$$

$$Rot(\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \alpha) \bullet \mathbf{R}_\beta = \mathbf{R}_\beta \bullet Rot(\mathbf{R}_\beta^{-1} \bullet \hat{\mathbf{a}}, \alpha)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_\alpha \bullet Rot(\hat{\mathbf{b}}, \beta) = Rot(\mathbf{R}_\alpha \bullet \hat{\mathbf{b}}, \beta) \bullet \mathbf{R}_\alpha$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



28

## Rotations: more facts

If  $\vec{v} = [v_x, v_y, v_z]^T$  then a rotation  $\mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{v}$  may be described in terms of the effects of  $\mathbf{R}$  on orthogonal unit vectors,  $\vec{e}_x = [1, 0, 0]^T$ ,

$$\vec{e}_y = [0, 1, 0]^T, \quad \vec{e}_z = [0, 0, 1]^T$$

$$\mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{v} = v_x \vec{r}_x + v_y \vec{r}_y + v_z \vec{r}_z$$

where

$$\vec{r}_x = \mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{e}_x$$

$$\vec{r}_y = \mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{e}_y$$

$$\vec{r}_z = \mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{e}_z$$

Note that rotation doesn't affect inner products

$$(\mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{b}) \bullet (\mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{c}) = \vec{b} \bullet \vec{c}$$

or lengths of vectors

$$\|\mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{v}\| = \|\vec{v}\|$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes


JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



29

## Rotations in the plane

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} x \cos \theta - y \sin \theta \\ x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$



Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes


JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



30

## Rotations in the plane

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \vec{e}_x & \vec{e}_y \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} \cdot \vec{e}_x & \mathbf{R} \cdot \vec{e}_y \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_x & \vec{r}_y \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$



Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



31

### 3D Rotation Matrices

$$\mathbf{R} \bullet [\vec{e}_x \quad \vec{e}_y \quad \vec{e}_z] = [\mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{e}_x \quad \mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{e}_y \quad \mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{e}_z]$$

$$= [\vec{r}_x \quad \vec{r}_y \quad \vec{r}_z]$$

$$\mathbf{R}^T \bullet \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{r}}_x^T \\ \hat{\mathbf{r}}_y^T \\ \hat{\mathbf{r}}_z^T \end{bmatrix} \bullet [\vec{r}_x \quad \vec{r}_y \quad \vec{r}_z]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_x^T \bullet \vec{r}_x & \vec{r}_x^T \bullet \vec{r}_y & \vec{r}_x^T \bullet \vec{r}_z \\ \vec{r}_y^T \bullet \vec{r}_x & \vec{r}_y^T \bullet \vec{r}_y & \vec{r}_y^T \bullet \vec{r}_z \\ \vec{r}_z^T \bullet \vec{r}_x & \vec{r}_z^T \bullet \vec{r}_y & \vec{r}_z^T \bullet \vec{r}_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



### Properties of Rotation Matrices

Inverse of a Rotation Matrix equals its transpose:

$$\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{R}^T$$

$$\mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R} \mathbf{R}^T = \mathbf{I}$$

The Determinant of a Rotation matrix is equal to +1:

$$\det(\mathbf{R}) = +1$$

Any Rotation can be described by consecutive rotations about the three primary axes, x, y, and z:

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_{z,\theta} \mathbf{R}_{y,\phi} \mathbf{R}_{x,\psi}$$



# Canonical 3D Rotation Matrices

Note: Right-Handed Coordinate System

$$\mathbf{R}_{\bar{x}}(\theta) = Rot(\bar{x}, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ 0 & \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\bar{y}}(\theta) = Rot(\bar{y}, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & 0 & \sin(\theta) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin(\theta) & 0 & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\bar{z}}(\theta) = Rot(\bar{z}, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) & 0 \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics




34

# Axis-angle Representations of Rotations

## Rodrigues' Formula (1840)\*

Rotation of a vector  $\bar{\mathbf{b}}$  by angle  $\alpha$  about axis  $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$

(Assumes that  $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$  is a unit vector,  $\|\bar{\mathbf{a}}\| = 1$ )



$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{c}} &= Rot(\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \alpha) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{b}} \\ &= \bar{\mathbf{b}} \cos \alpha + \bar{\mathbf{a}} \times \bar{\mathbf{b}} \sin \alpha + \bar{\mathbf{a}} (\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{b}}) (1 - \cos \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

In matrix form this is  $\bar{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{R} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{b}}$  where

$$\mathbf{R} = (\cos \alpha) \mathbf{I} + (\sin \alpha) skew(\bar{\mathbf{a}}) + (1 - \cos \alpha) \bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{a}}^T$$

\* Olinde Rodrigues, "Des lois géométriques qui régissent les déplacements d'un système solide dans l'espace, et de la variation des coordonnées provenant de ces déplacements considérés indépendants des causes qui peuvent les produire", *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* 5 (1840), 380–440. ([http://sites.mathdoc.fr/JMPA/PDF/JMPA\\_1840\\_1\\_5\\_A39\\_0.pdf](http://sites.mathdoc.fr/JMPA/PDF/JMPA_1840_1_5_A39_0.pdf))

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



35



## Exponential representation

Consider a rotation about axis  $\bar{\mathbf{n}}$  by angle  $\theta$ . Then

$$e^{\text{skew}(\bar{\mathbf{n}})\theta} = \mathbf{I} + \theta \text{skew}(\bar{\mathbf{n}}) + \frac{\theta^2}{2!} \text{skew}(\bar{\mathbf{n}})^2 + \dots$$

By doing some manipulation, you can show

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{n}}, \theta) &= e^{\text{skew}(\bar{\mathbf{n}})\theta} \\ &= \mathbf{I} + \text{skew}(\bar{\mathbf{n}})\sin\theta + \text{skew}(\bar{\mathbf{n}})^2(1 - \cos\theta) \\ &= \mathbf{I} + \text{skew}(\bar{\mathbf{n}})\sin\theta + (\bar{\mathbf{n}}\bar{\mathbf{n}}^T - \mathbf{I})(1 - \cos\theta) \\ &= \mathbf{I}\cos\theta + \text{skew}(\bar{\mathbf{n}})\sin\theta + \bar{\mathbf{n}}\bar{\mathbf{n}}^T(1 - \cos\theta) \end{aligned}$$

which is just Rodrigues' formula.

Note that for small  $\theta$ , this reduces to

$$\text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{n}}, \theta) \approx \mathbf{I} + \text{skew}(\theta\bar{\mathbf{n}})$$



## Cayley Transform Representation

Consider the rotation  $\text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{n}}, \theta)$  and define

$$\bar{\mathbf{a}} = \left( \tan \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \bar{\mathbf{n}}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \text{skew}(\bar{\mathbf{a}})$$

Then,

$$\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}) = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A})(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1}$$

gives the rotation matrix corresponding to  $\text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{n}}, \theta)$ . Similarly, given  $\mathbf{R}$ ,

$$\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{R})^{-1}$$

gives the elements of  $\text{skew}(\bar{\mathbf{a}})$  and hence for  $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ .

**Note:** The above relations require that  $\theta \neq \pm\pi$ .



## Homogeneous Coordinates

- Widely used in graphics, geometric calculations
- Represent 3D vector as 4D quantity
- For our current purposes, we will keep the “scale”  $s = 1$

$$\vec{V} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} xS \\ yS \\ zS \\ S \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



39

## Representing Frame Transformations as Matrices

$$\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{p}_x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \mathbf{p}_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \mathbf{p}_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_x \\ \mathbf{v}_y \\ \mathbf{v}_z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = [\mathbf{I}, \vec{\mathbf{p}}] \bullet \mathbf{v}$$

$$\mathbf{R} \bullet \mathbf{v} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{P} \bullet \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{p} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{p} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{p}] = \mathbf{F}$$


$$\mathbf{F} \bullet \mathbf{v} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{p} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{R} \bullet \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{p} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes


JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



40




41




42







47



48




49



50

## Frame transformation from 3 point pairs



51

## Frame transformation from 3 point pairs

$$\vec{v}_k = \mathbf{F}_{rC} \vec{b}_k = \mathbf{R}_{rC} \vec{b}_k + \vec{p}_{rC}$$

Define

$$\vec{v}_m = \frac{1}{3} \sum_1^3 \vec{v}_k \quad \vec{b}_m = \frac{1}{3} \sum_1^3 \vec{b}_k$$

$$\vec{u}_k = \vec{v}_k - \vec{v}_m \quad \vec{a}_k = \vec{b}_k - \vec{b}_m$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{rC} \vec{a}_k = \mathbf{R}_{rC} \vec{a}_k + \vec{p}_{rC}$$


$$\mathbf{R}_{rC} \vec{a}_k + \vec{p}_{rC} = \mathbf{R}_{rC} (\vec{b}_k - \vec{b}_m) + \vec{p}_{rC}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{rC} \vec{a}_k = \mathbf{R}_{rC} \vec{b}_k + \vec{p}_{rC} - \mathbf{R}_{rC} \vec{b}_m - \vec{p}_{rC}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{rC} \vec{a}_k = \vec{v}_k - \vec{v}_m = \vec{u}_k$$

$$\vec{p}_{rC} = \vec{v}_m - \mathbf{R}_{rC} \vec{b}_m$$

**Solve  
These!!**



Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics

52

## Rotation from multiple vector pairs

Given a system  $\mathbf{R}\bar{\mathbf{a}}_k = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_k$  for  $k=1, \dots, n$  the problem is to estimate  $\mathbf{R}$ . This will require at least three such point pairs. Later in the course we will cover some good ways to solve this system. Here is a not-so-good way that will produce roughly correct answers:

Step 1: Form matrices  $\mathbf{U} = [\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1 \ \dots \ \bar{\mathbf{u}}_n]$  and  $\mathbf{A} = [\bar{\mathbf{a}}_1 \ \dots \ \bar{\mathbf{a}}_n]$

Step 2: Solve the system  $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}$  for  $\mathbf{R}$ . E.g., by  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{A}^{-1}$

Step 3: Renormalize  $\mathbf{R}$  to guarantee  $\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}$ .



## Renormalizing Rotation Matrix

Given "rotation" matrix  $\mathbf{R} = [\bar{\mathbf{r}}_x \mid \bar{\mathbf{r}}_y \mid \bar{\mathbf{r}}_z]$ , modify it so  $\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}$ .

Step 1:  $\bar{\mathbf{a}} = \bar{\mathbf{r}}_y \times \bar{\mathbf{r}}_z$

Step 2:  $\bar{\mathbf{b}} = \bar{\mathbf{r}}_z \times \bar{\mathbf{a}}$


Step 3:  $\mathbf{R}_{normalized} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} \frac{\bar{\mathbf{a}}}{\|\bar{\mathbf{a}}\|} & \frac{\bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\|\bar{\mathbf{b}}\|} & \frac{\bar{\mathbf{r}}_z}{\|\bar{\mathbf{r}}_z\|} \end{array} \right]$





## Calibrating a pointer


But what is  $\mathbf{b}_{tip}$ ??



55

## Calibrating a pointer

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\mathbf{b}}_{post} &= \mathbf{F}_k \vec{\mathbf{b}}_{tip} \\ &= \mathbf{R}_k \vec{\mathbf{b}}_{tip} + \vec{\mathbf{p}}_k \end{aligned}$$



56

## Calibrating a pointer

For each measurement  $k$ , we have


$$\vec{\mathbf{b}}_{post} = \mathbf{R}_k \vec{\mathbf{b}}_{tip} + \vec{\mathbf{p}}_k$$

i. e.,

$$\mathbf{R}_k \vec{\mathbf{b}}_{tip} - \vec{\mathbf{b}}_{post} = -\vec{\mathbf{p}}_k$$

Set up a least squares problem

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{R}_k & -\mathbf{I} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\mathbf{b}}_{tip} \\ \vec{\mathbf{b}}_{post} \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ -\vec{\mathbf{p}}_k \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$




Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



57

## "Registration Transformations"



Given a coordinate system  $F_C$  and another coordinate system  $F_E$  (e.g., a CT scan and a tracked "rigid body" attached to the patient), and points  $\vec{\mathbf{c}}_i$  in the coordinate system  $F_C$  and points  $\vec{\mathbf{g}}_i$  in the coordinate system  $F_E$ , then the "registration transformation"  $F_{GC}$  between  $F_E$  and  $F_C$  one in which for  $F_{GC} \vec{\mathbf{c}}_i = \vec{\mathbf{g}}_i$ , if and only if  $\vec{\mathbf{c}}_i$  and  $\vec{\mathbf{g}}_i$  refer to the same or corresponding points.

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



58

### Use in surgical navigation

If an anatomic structure is identified at pose  $F_{UA}$  in ultrasound image coordinates give the formula for computing the corresponding pose  $F_{CA}$  in CT coordinates

**NOTE:** In cases where there is a reference body (here  $F_G$ ) affixed to the patient, it is sometimes convenient to assume that the navigation software routinely transforms all tracked markers to the coordinate system of  $F_G$  (i.e.,  $F_{Gx} = F_{BG}^{-1} F_{Bx}$ ).

$$F_{BA} = F_{BD} F_{DU} F_{UA}$$

$$F_{BA} = F_{BG} F_{GC} F_{CA}$$

$$F_{BG} F_{GC} F_{CA} = F_{BD} F_{DU} F_{UA}$$

$$F_{CA} = (F_{BG} F_{GC})^{-1} F_{BD} F_{DU} F_{UA}$$

$$F_{GC} = F_{BG}^{-1} F_{BD} F_{DU} F_{UA} F_{CA}^{-1}$$

$$F_{GC} = F_{GD} F_{DU} F_{UA} F_{CA}^{-1}$$

where  $F_{GD} = F_{BG}^{-1} F_{BD}$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics

59

### Kinematic Links


$$F_k = F_{k-1} \bullet F_{k-1,k}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_k & \bar{p}_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{k-1} & p_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} R_{k-1,k} & p_{k-1,k} \end{bmatrix}$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics

60

## Kinematic Links



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_k &= \mathbf{F}_{k-1} \bullet \mathbf{F}_{k-1,k} \\ [\mathbf{R}_k, \bar{\mathbf{p}}_k] &= [\mathbf{R}_{k-1}, \mathbf{p}_{k-1}] \bullet [\mathbf{R}_{k-1,k}, \mathbf{p}_{k-1,k}] \\ &= [\mathbf{R}_{k-1}, \mathbf{p}_{k-1}] \bullet [\text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_k, \theta_k), \bar{\mathbf{0}}] \bullet [\mathbf{I}, L_k \bar{\mathbf{x}}] \\ &= [\mathbf{R}_{k-1}, \mathbf{p}_{k-1}] \bullet [\text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_k, \theta_k), L_k \text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_k, \theta_k) \bullet \bar{\mathbf{x}}] \end{aligned}$$


Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



61

## Kinematic Chains



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_0 &= [\mathbf{I}, \bar{\mathbf{0}}] \\ \mathbf{R}_3 &= \mathbf{R}_{0,1} \mathbf{R}_{1,2} \mathbf{R}_{2,3} = \text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_1, \theta_1) \text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_2, \theta_2) \text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_3, \theta_3) \\ \bar{\mathbf{p}}_3 &= \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{0,1} + \mathbf{R}_{0,1} (\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{1,2} + \mathbf{R}_{1,2} \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{2,3}) \\ &= L_1 \text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_1, \theta_1) \bar{\mathbf{x}} \\ &\quad + L_2 \text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_1, \theta_1) \text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_2, \theta_2) \bar{\mathbf{x}} \\ &\quad + L_3 \text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_1, \theta_1) \text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_2, \theta_2) \text{Rot}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_3, \theta_3) \bar{\mathbf{x}} \end{aligned}$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



62

## Kinematic Chains

$$\text{If } \vec{r}_1 = \vec{r}_2 = \vec{r}_3 = \vec{z},$$

$$\mathbf{R}_3 = \text{Rot}(\vec{z}, \theta_1) \text{Rot}(\vec{z}, \theta_2) \text{Rot}(\vec{z}, \theta_3)$$

$$= \text{Rot}(\vec{z}, \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)$$

$$\vec{p}_3 = \vec{p}_{0,1} + \mathbf{R}_{0,1}(\vec{p}_{1,2} + \mathbf{R}_{1,2}\vec{p}_{2,3})$$

$$= L_1 \text{Rot}(\vec{z}, \theta_1) \vec{x}$$


$$+ L_2 \text{Rot}(\vec{z}, \theta_1) \text{Rot}(\vec{z}, \theta_2) \vec{x}$$

$$+ L_3 \text{Rot}(\vec{z}, \theta_1) \text{Rot}(\vec{z}, \theta_2) \text{Rot}(\vec{z}, \theta_3) \vec{x}$$

$$= L_1 \text{Rot}(\vec{z}, \theta_1) \vec{x}$$

$$+ L_2 \text{Rot}(\vec{z}, \theta_1 + \theta_2) \vec{x}$$

$$+ L_3 \text{Rot}(\vec{z}, \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) \vec{x}$$



Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics




63

## Kinematic Chains

$$\text{If } \vec{r}_1 = \vec{r}_2 = \vec{r}_3 = \vec{z},$$

$$\mathbf{R}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) & -\sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) & 0 \\ \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) & \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{p}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 \cos(\theta_1) + L_2 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + L_3 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) \\ L_1 \sin(\theta_1) + L_2 \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + L_3 \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



64

## “Small” Transformations

- A great deal of CIS is concerned with computing and using geometric information based on imprecise knowledge
- Similarly, one is often concerned with the effects of relatively small rotations and displacements
- Essentially, we will be using fairly straightforward linearizations to model these situations, but a specialized notation is often useful



## “Small” Frame Transformations

Represent a "small" pose shift consisting of a small rotation  $\Delta\mathbf{R}$  followed by a small displacement  $\Delta\vec{\mathbf{p}}$  as

$$\Delta\mathbf{F} = [\Delta\mathbf{R}, \Delta\vec{\mathbf{p}}]$$

Then

$$\Delta\mathbf{F} \bullet \vec{\mathbf{v}} = \Delta\mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{\mathbf{v}} + \Delta\vec{\mathbf{p}}$$



## Small Rotations

$\Delta\mathbf{R}$  = a small rotation

$\mathbf{R}_{\vec{a}}(\Delta\alpha)$  = a rotation by a small angle  $\Delta\alpha$  about axis  $\vec{a}$

$\text{Rot}(\vec{a}, \|\vec{a}\|) \bullet \vec{b} \approx \vec{a} \times \vec{b} + \vec{b}$  for  $\|\vec{a}\|$  sufficiently small

$\Delta\mathbf{R}(\vec{a})$  = a rotation that is small enough so that any error introduced by this approximation is negligible

$\Delta\mathbf{R}(\lambda\vec{a}) \bullet \Delta\mathbf{R}(\mu\vec{b}) \cong \Delta\mathbf{R}(\lambda\vec{a} + \mu\vec{b})$  (Linearity for small rotations)

Exercise: Work out the linearity proposition by substitution



## Approximations to “Small” Frames

$$\Delta\mathbf{F}(\vec{a}, \Delta\vec{p}) \triangleq [\Delta\mathbf{R}(\vec{a}), \Delta\vec{p}]$$

$$\Delta\mathbf{F}(\vec{a}, \Delta\vec{p}) \bullet \vec{v} = \Delta\mathbf{R}(\vec{a}) \bullet \vec{v} + \Delta\vec{p}$$

$$\approx \vec{v} + \vec{a} \times \vec{v} + \Delta\vec{p}$$

$$\vec{a} \times \vec{v} = \text{skew}(\vec{a}) \bullet \vec{v}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_z & a_y \\ a_z & 0 & -a_x \\ -a_y & a_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} v_x \\ v_y \\ v_z \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{skew}(\vec{a}) \bullet \vec{a} = \vec{a} \times \vec{a} = \vec{0}$$

$$\Delta\mathbf{R}(\vec{a}) \approx \mathbf{I} + \text{skew}(\vec{a})$$

$$\Delta\mathbf{R}(\vec{a})^{-1} \approx \mathbf{I} - \text{skew}(\vec{a}) = \mathbf{I} + \text{skew}(-\vec{a})$$



## Approximations to “Small” Frames

Notational NOTE:

We often use  $\vec{\alpha}$  to represent a vector of small angles  
and  $\vec{\epsilon}$  to represent a vector of small displacements

In using these approximations, we typically ignore second order terms. I.e.,

$$\vec{\alpha}_A \vec{\alpha}_B \approx \vec{0}, \vec{\alpha}_A \vec{\epsilon}_B \approx \vec{0}, \vec{\epsilon}_A \vec{\epsilon}_B \approx \vec{0}, \text{ etc.}$$



## Errors & sensitivity

Often, we do not have an accurate value for a transformation,  
so we need to model the error. We model this as a composition  
of a "nominal" frame and a small displacement

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{actual}} = \mathbf{F}_{\text{nominal}} \bullet \Delta \mathbf{F}$$

Often, we will use the notation  $\mathbf{F}^*$  for  $\mathbf{F}_{\text{actual}}$  and will just use  
 $\mathbf{F}$  for  $\mathbf{F}_{\text{nominal}}$ . Thus we may write something like

$$\mathbf{F}^* = \mathbf{F} \bullet \Delta \mathbf{F}$$

or (less often)  $\mathbf{F}^* = \Delta \mathbf{F} \bullet \mathbf{F}$ . We also use  $\vec{v}^* = \vec{v} + \Delta \vec{v}$ , etc.

Thus, if we use the former form (error on the right), and  
have nominal relationship  $\vec{v} = \mathbf{F} \bullet \vec{b}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v}^* &= \mathbf{F}^* \bullet \vec{b} \\ &= \mathbf{F} \bullet \Delta \mathbf{F} \bullet (\vec{b} + \Delta \vec{b}) = \mathbf{F} \bullet (\Delta \mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{b} + \Delta \mathbf{R} \bullet \Delta \vec{b} + \Delta \vec{p}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{R} \bullet ((\mathbf{I} + sk(\vec{\alpha})) \bullet (\vec{b} + \Delta \vec{b}) + \Delta \vec{p}) + \vec{p} = \mathbf{R} \bullet (\vec{b} + \vec{\alpha} \times \vec{b} + \Delta \vec{b} + \Delta \vec{p}) + \vec{p} \\ &\approx \mathbf{R} \bullet (\vec{\alpha} \times \vec{b} + \Delta \vec{b} + \Delta \vec{p}) + \mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{b} + \vec{p} = \mathbf{R} \bullet (\vec{\alpha} \times \vec{b} + \Delta \vec{b} + \Delta \vec{p}) + \vec{v} \\ \Delta \vec{v} &\approx \mathbf{R} \bullet (\vec{\alpha} \times \vec{b} + \Delta \vec{b} + \Delta \vec{p}) \end{aligned}$$





### “Small Errors”

Suppose that there is a small systematic error in the tracking system so that

$$\mathbf{F}_{Bx}^* = \Delta \mathbf{F}_{B^* Bx} \mathbf{F}_{Bx}$$

for  $\mathbf{F}_{BG}, \mathbf{F}_{BD}, \mathbf{F}_{BE}$ . How does this affect the calculation of  $\mathbf{F}_{GH}$ ?

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{GH}^* &= (\mathbf{F}_{BG}^*)^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{BE}^* \mathbf{F}_{EH} \\ \mathbf{F}_{GH} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{GH} &= (\Delta \mathbf{F}_{B^* BG})^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{B^* BE} \mathbf{F}_{EH} \\ \Delta \mathbf{F}_{GH} &= \mathbf{F}_{GH}^{-1} (\Delta \mathbf{F}_{B^* BG})^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{B^* BE} \mathbf{F}_{EH} \\ &= \mathbf{F}_{GH}^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{BG}^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_B^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{B^* BE} \mathbf{F}_{EH} \\ &= \mathbf{F}_{GH}^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{BG}^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{BE} \mathbf{F}_{EH} = \mathbf{F}_{GH}^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{GH} = \mathbf{I} \end{aligned}$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics

72

### “Small Errors”

Suppose that there are additional errors in the tracking of each tracker body so that


$$\mathbf{F}_{Bx}^* = \Delta \mathbf{F}_{B^* Bx} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{Bx}$$

for  $\mathbf{F}_{BG}, \mathbf{F}_{BD}, \mathbf{F}_{BE}$ . How does this affect the calculation of  $\mathbf{F}_{GH}$ ?


$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{GH}^* &= \mathbf{F}_{GH} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{GH} = (\mathbf{F}_{BG}^*)^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{BE}^* \mathbf{F}_{EH} \\ \Delta \mathbf{F}_{GH} &= \mathbf{F}_{GH}^{-1} (\Delta \mathbf{F}_{B^* BG} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{BG})^{-1} (\Delta \mathbf{F}_{B^* BE} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{BE}) \mathbf{F}_{EH} \\ \Delta \mathbf{F}_{GH} &= (\mathbf{F}_{BG}^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{BE} \mathbf{F}_{EH})^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{BG}^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{BG}^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_B^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{B^* BE} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{BE} \mathbf{F}_{EH} \\ &= \mathbf{F}_{EH}^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{BE}^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{BG} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{BG}^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{BG}^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{BE} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{BE} \mathbf{F}_{EH} \end{aligned}$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics

73



74



75

$$\mathbf{F}^* \bullet \vec{\mathbf{b}}^* = \vec{\mathbf{v}}^*$$

$$\mathbf{F} \bullet \Delta \mathbf{F} \bullet (\vec{\mathbf{b}} + \Delta \vec{\mathbf{b}}) = \vec{\mathbf{v}} + \Delta \vec{\mathbf{v}}$$

$$\mathbf{F}^* = \mathbf{F} \bullet \Delta \mathbf{F}$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1^* = \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1 + \Delta \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{b}}_1^* = \vec{\mathbf{b}}_1 + \Delta \vec{\mathbf{b}}_1$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes
JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics

76

## Errors & Sensitivity

Suppose that we know nominal values for  $\mathbf{F}$ ,  $\vec{\mathbf{b}}$ , and  $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$  and that

$$[-\varepsilon, -\varepsilon, -\varepsilon]^T \leq \Delta \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1 \leq [\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \varepsilon]^T \quad (\text{i.e., } \|\Delta \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon)$$

What does this tell us about  $\Delta \mathbf{F} = [\Delta \mathbf{R}, \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}]$ ?

$$\mathbf{F}^* = \mathbf{F} \bullet \Delta \mathbf{F}$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1^* = \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1 + \Delta \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{b}}_1^* = \vec{\mathbf{b}}_1 + \Delta \vec{\mathbf{b}}_1$$

600.445; Copyright © 1999, 2000 rht+rg

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes
JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics

77

## Errors & Sensitivity

$$\begin{aligned}
 \vec{v}^* &= \mathbf{F}^* \bullet \vec{b}^* \\
 &= \mathbf{F} \bullet \Delta \mathbf{F} \bullet (\vec{b} + \Delta \vec{b}) \\
 &= \mathbf{R} \bullet (\Delta \mathbf{R}(\vec{\alpha}) \bullet (\vec{b} + \Delta \vec{b}) + \Delta \vec{p}) + \vec{p} \\
 &\approx \mathbf{R} \bullet (\vec{b} + \Delta \vec{b} + \vec{\alpha} \times \vec{b} + \vec{\alpha} \times \Delta \vec{b} + \Delta \vec{p}) + \vec{p} \\
 &= \mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{b} + \vec{p} + \mathbf{R} \bullet (\Delta \vec{b} + \vec{\alpha} \times \vec{b} + \vec{\alpha} \times \Delta \vec{b} + \Delta \vec{p}) \\
 &\approx \vec{v} + \mathbf{R} \bullet (\Delta \vec{b} + \vec{\alpha} \times \vec{b} + \Delta \vec{p})
 \end{aligned}$$

if  $\|\vec{\alpha} \times \Delta \vec{b}\| \leq \|\vec{\alpha}\| \|\Delta \vec{b}\|$  is negligible (it usually is)

SO

$$\Delta \vec{v} = \vec{v}^* - \vec{v} \approx \mathbf{R} \bullet (\Delta \vec{b} + \vec{\alpha} \times \vec{b} + \Delta \vec{p}) = \mathbf{R} \bullet \Delta \vec{b} + \mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{\alpha} \times \vec{b} + \mathbf{R} \bullet \Delta \vec{p}$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



78

## Digression: “rotation triple product”

Expressions like  $\mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{a} \times \vec{b}$  are linear in  $\vec{a}$ , but are not always convenient to work with. Often we would prefer something like  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{R}, \vec{b}) \bullet \vec{a}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{a} \times \vec{b} &= -\mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{b} \times \vec{a} \\
 &= \mathbf{R} \bullet \text{skew}(-\vec{b}) \bullet \vec{a} \\
 &= \left[ \mathbf{R} \bullet \text{skew}(\vec{b})^T \right] \bullet \vec{a}
 \end{aligned}$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



79

## Digression: “rotation triple product”

Here are a few more useful facts:

$$\mathbf{R} \bullet (\vec{\mathbf{a}} \times \vec{\mathbf{b}}) = (\mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{\mathbf{a}}) \times (\mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{\mathbf{b}})$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{a}} \times (\mathbf{R} \bullet \vec{\mathbf{b}}) = \mathbf{R} \bullet ((\mathbf{R}^{-1} \bullet \vec{\mathbf{a}}) \times \vec{\mathbf{b}})$$

Consequently

$$\text{skew}(\vec{\mathbf{a}}) \bullet \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R} \bullet \text{skew}(\mathbf{R}^{-1} \bullet \vec{\mathbf{a}})$$

$$\mathbf{R}^{-1} \text{skew}(\vec{\mathbf{a}}) \bullet \mathbf{R} = \text{skew}(\mathbf{R}^{-1} \bullet \vec{\mathbf{a}})$$



## A “standard form” for linearized error expressions

It is often convenient to use identities to rearrange expressions involving small error variables into sums of terms with the general form  $\mathbf{M}_k \vec{\eta}_k$ , where  $\mathbf{M}_k$  involve things known to the computer, and the  $\vec{\eta}_k$  are error variables.

For example,

$$\vec{\gamma} = \mathbf{R} \text{sk}(\vec{\alpha}) \vec{\mathbf{a}} + \text{sk}(\vec{\beta}) \vec{\mathbf{b}}$$


would be rewritten as

$$\vec{\gamma} = -\mathbf{R} \text{sk}(\vec{\mathbf{a}}) \vec{\alpha} - \text{sk}(\vec{\mathbf{b}}) \vec{\beta}$$


or

$$\vec{\gamma} = \mathbf{R} \text{sk}(-\vec{\mathbf{a}}) \vec{\alpha} + \text{sk}(-\vec{\mathbf{b}}) \vec{\beta}$$







82




83




84



85




86




87







88




89




90



91



92



93

## Errors & Sensitivity

Previous expression was

$$\Delta \vec{v}_1 \approx \mathbf{R} \bullet (\Delta \vec{b}_1 + \vec{\alpha} \times \vec{b} + \Delta \vec{p}_1)$$

Substituting triple product and rearranging gives

$$\Delta \vec{v}_1 \approx \left[ \mathbf{R} \mid \mathbf{R} \mid \mathbf{R} \bullet \text{skew}(-\vec{b}) \right] \bullet \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \vec{b}_1 \\ \Delta \vec{p} \\ \vec{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$

So

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\varepsilon \\ -\varepsilon \\ -\varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \leq \left[ \mathbf{R} \mid \mathbf{R} \mid \mathbf{R} \bullet \text{skew}(-\vec{b}) \right] \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \vec{b}_1 \\ \Delta \vec{p} \\ \vec{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}$$



## Errors & Sensitivity

Now, suppose we know that  $|\Delta \vec{b}_1| \leq \beta$ , this will give us a system of linear constraints

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\varepsilon \\ -\varepsilon \\ -\varepsilon \\ -\beta \\ -\beta \\ -\beta \end{bmatrix} \leq \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{R} \bullet \text{skew}(-\vec{b}) \\ \hline \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \vec{b}_1 \\ \Delta \vec{p}_1 \\ \vec{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \\ \beta \\ \beta \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$$



## Error from frame composition

Consider  $\mathbf{R}_1^* \mathbf{R}_2^* = \mathbf{R}_3^*$  where  $\mathbf{R}_1^* = \mathbf{R}_1 \Delta \mathbf{R}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_2^* = \mathbf{R}_2 \Delta \mathbf{R}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_3^* = \mathbf{R}_3 \Delta \mathbf{R}_3$   
and  $\Delta \mathbf{R}_1 \approx \mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$ ,  $\Delta \mathbf{R}_2 \approx \mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_2)$ , estimate  $\Delta \mathbf{R}_3 \approx \mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_3)$

$$\mathbf{R}_1 \Delta \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2 \Delta \mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2 \Delta \mathbf{R}_3$$

$$\mathbf{R}_1 (\mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_1)) \mathbf{R}_2 (\mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_2)) \approx \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2 (\mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_3))$$

$$(\mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{R}_1 (\mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_1)) \mathbf{R}_2 (\mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_2)) \approx \mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_3)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \mathbf{R}_1^{-1} \mathbf{R}_1 (\mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_1)) \mathbf{R}_2 (\mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_2)) \approx \mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_3)$$

$$\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_1) \mathbf{R}_2 + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_2) + \mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_1) \mathbf{R}_2 \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_2) \approx \mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_3)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_1) \mathbf{R}_2 + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_2) \approx \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_3)$$

Since  $\mathbf{R}^{-1} \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{a}} \times \mathbf{R} \bar{\mathbf{b}}) = (\mathbf{R}^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{a}}) \times \bar{\mathbf{b}}$  for all  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{b}}$  we get  $\mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_1) \mathbf{R}_2 = \text{sk}(\mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \bar{\alpha}_1)$

$$\text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_3) \approx \text{sk}(\mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \bar{\alpha}_1) + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_2) = \text{sk}(\mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \bar{\alpha}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_2)$$

$$\bar{\alpha}_3 \approx \mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \bar{\alpha}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_2$$



## Error from frame composition

Consider  $\mathbf{F}_1^* \mathbf{F}_2^* = \mathbf{F}_3^*$  where  $\mathbf{F}_1^* = \mathbf{F}_1 \Delta \mathbf{F}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{F}_2^* = \mathbf{F}_2 \Delta \mathbf{F}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{F}_3^* = \mathbf{F}_3 \Delta \mathbf{F}_3$

and  $\Delta \mathbf{F}_1 \approx [\mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_1), \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1]$ ,  $\Delta \mathbf{F}_2 \approx [\mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_2), \bar{\mathbf{e}}_2]$ ,

estimate  $\Delta \mathbf{F}_3 \approx [\mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_3), \bar{\mathbf{e}}_3]$

From before, we have  $\bar{\alpha}_3 \approx \mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \bar{\alpha}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_2$ . So now we just need  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_3$ .

$$\mathbf{F}_3 \Delta \mathbf{F}_3 = [\mathbf{R}_3 \Delta \mathbf{R}_3, \mathbf{R}_3 \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_3 + \bar{\mathbf{p}}_3]$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{p}}_3 + \mathbf{R}_3 \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_3 = \mathbf{R}_1 (\Delta \mathbf{R}_1 (\bar{\mathbf{p}}_2 + \mathbf{R}_2 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_2) + \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1) + \bar{\mathbf{p}}_1$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{p}}_3 + \mathbf{R}_3 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_3 \approx \mathbf{R}_1 (\mathbf{I} + \text{sk}(\bar{\alpha}_1)) (\bar{\mathbf{p}}_2 + \mathbf{R}_2 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_2) + \mathbf{R}_1 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1 + \bar{\mathbf{p}}_1$$

$$= \mathbf{R}_1 \bar{\mathbf{p}}_2 + \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_2 + \mathbf{R}_1 \cdot (\bar{\alpha}_1 \times \bar{\mathbf{p}}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_1 \times \bar{\mathbf{e}}_2) + \bar{\mathbf{p}}_1 + \mathbf{R}_1 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1$$

$$= \bar{\mathbf{p}}_3 + \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_2 + \mathbf{R}_1 \cdot (\bar{\alpha}_1 \times \bar{\mathbf{p}}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_1 \times \bar{\mathbf{e}}_2) + \mathbf{R}_1 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1$$

$$\mathbf{R}_3 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_3 \approx \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_2 + \mathbf{R}_1 \cdot \bar{\alpha}_1 \times \bar{\mathbf{p}}_2 + \mathbf{R}_1 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{e}}_3 \approx \mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \mathbf{R}_1^{-1} \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_2 + \mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \mathbf{R}_1^{-1} \mathbf{R}_1 \cdot \bar{\alpha}_1 \times \bar{\mathbf{p}}_2 + \mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \mathbf{R}_1^{-1} \mathbf{R}_1 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1$$

$$= \bar{\mathbf{e}}_2 + \mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \cdot \bar{\alpha}_1 \times \bar{\mathbf{p}}_2 + \mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1$$

$$= \bar{\mathbf{e}}_2 - \mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \text{sk}(\bar{\mathbf{p}}_2) \bar{\alpha}_1 + \mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1$$



## Inverse of frame transformation with errors

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{F}_i &= \mathbf{F}^{-1} = [\mathbf{R}^{-1}, -\mathbf{R}^{-1}\vec{\mathbf{p}}] \\
 (\mathbf{F}_i)^{-1} &= (\mathbf{F}\Delta\mathbf{F})^{-1} \\
 (\mathbf{F}_i\Delta\mathbf{F}_i)^{-1} &= [\mathbf{R}\Delta\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}\Delta\vec{\mathbf{p}} + \vec{\mathbf{p}}]^{-1} \\
 &= [(\mathbf{R}\Delta\mathbf{R})^{-1}, -(\mathbf{R}\Delta\mathbf{R})^{-1}(\mathbf{R}\Delta\vec{\mathbf{p}} + \vec{\mathbf{p}})] \\
 &= [\Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{-1}, -\Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{-1}(\mathbf{R}\Delta\vec{\mathbf{p}} + \vec{\mathbf{p}})] \\
 &= [\Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{-1}, -\Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\Delta\vec{\mathbf{p}} - \Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\vec{\mathbf{p}}] \\
 (\Delta\mathbf{F}_i)^{-1} &= (\mathbf{F}^{-1})^{-1}[\Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{-1}, -\Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\Delta\vec{\mathbf{p}} - \Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\vec{\mathbf{p}}] \\
 &= [\mathbf{R}, \vec{\mathbf{p}}] \cdot [\Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{-1}, -\Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\Delta\vec{\mathbf{p}} - \Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\vec{\mathbf{p}}] \\
 &= [\mathbf{R}\Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{-1}, -\mathbf{R}\Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\Delta\vec{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{R}\Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\vec{\mathbf{p}} + \vec{\mathbf{p}}]
 \end{aligned}$$




## Inverse of frame transformation with errors

Suppose we know that  $\Delta\mathbf{R}$  is "small", i.e.,  $\Delta\mathbf{R} \approx \mathbf{I} + sk(\vec{\alpha})$ , and for notational convenience we write  $\Delta\vec{\mathbf{p}} = \vec{\varepsilon}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta\mathbf{R}_i &= \mathbf{R}\Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{-1} \approx \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{I} + sk(\vec{\alpha}))^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{-1} \\
 &\approx \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{I} - sk(\vec{\alpha}))\mathbf{R}^{-1} \\
 &= \mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}^{-1} - \mathbf{R}sk(\vec{\alpha})\mathbf{R}^{-1} \\
 &= \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}sk(\vec{\alpha})\mathbf{R}^{-1} \\
 &= \mathbf{I} - sk\left((\mathbf{R}^{-1})^{-1}\vec{\alpha}\right) = \mathbf{I} - sk(\mathbf{R}\vec{\alpha}) \\
 \Delta\vec{\mathbf{p}}_i &= -\mathbf{R}\Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\Delta\vec{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{R}\Delta\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\vec{\mathbf{p}} + \vec{\mathbf{p}} \\
 &\approx -\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{I} - sk(\vec{\alpha}))\vec{\varepsilon} - (\mathbf{I} - sk(\mathbf{R}\vec{\alpha}))\vec{\mathbf{p}} + \vec{\mathbf{p}} \\
 &= -\mathbf{R}\vec{\varepsilon} + \mathbf{R}(\vec{\alpha} \times \vec{\varepsilon}) - \vec{\mathbf{p}} + (\mathbf{R}\vec{\alpha}) \times \vec{\mathbf{p}} + \vec{\mathbf{p}} \\
 &\approx -\mathbf{R}\vec{\varepsilon} + (\mathbf{R}\vec{\alpha}) \times \vec{\mathbf{p}} = -\mathbf{R}\vec{\varepsilon} - \vec{\mathbf{p}} \times (\mathbf{R}\vec{\alpha}) = -\mathbf{R}\vec{\varepsilon} - sk(\vec{\mathbf{p}})\mathbf{R}\vec{\alpha} \\
 \text{(also)} &= -\mathbf{R}\vec{\varepsilon} - ((\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\vec{\mathbf{p}}) \times (\mathbf{R}\vec{\alpha})) = -\mathbf{R}\vec{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{R}((\mathbf{R}^{-1}\vec{\mathbf{p}}) \times \vec{\alpha}) \\
 &= -\mathbf{R} \cdot (\vec{\varepsilon} + (\mathbf{R}^{-1}\vec{\mathbf{p}}) \times \vec{\alpha}) = -\mathbf{R}\vec{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{R}sk(\mathbf{R}^{-1}\vec{\mathbf{p}})\vec{\alpha}
 \end{aligned}$$



## Error Propagation in Chains



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_k^* &= \mathbf{F}_{k-1}^* \bullet \mathbf{F}_{k-1,k}^* \\ \mathbf{F}_k \Delta \mathbf{F}_k &= \mathbf{F}_{k-1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{k-1} \mathbf{F}_{k-1,k} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{k-1,k} \\ \Delta \mathbf{F}_k &= (\mathbf{F}_k^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{k-1}) \Delta \mathbf{F}_{k-1} \mathbf{F}_{k-1,k} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{k-1,k} \\ &= (\mathbf{F}_{k-1,k}^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{k-1} \mathbf{F}_{k-1,k}) \Delta \mathbf{F}_{k-1,k} \end{aligned}$$


Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



103

## Error Propagation in Chains



$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathbf{F}_k &= (\mathbf{F}_k^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{k-1}) \Delta \mathbf{F}_{k-1} \mathbf{F}_{k-1,k} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{k-1,k} \\ &= (\mathbf{F}_{k-1,k}^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{k-1} \mathbf{F}_{k-1,k}) \Delta \mathbf{F}_{k-1,k} \\ \Delta \mathbf{R}_k &= (\mathbf{R}_{k-1,k}^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{R}_{k-1,k}) \Delta \mathbf{R}_{k-1,k} \\ &\approx (\mathbf{R}_{k-1,k}^{-1} (\mathbf{I} + \text{skew}(\vec{\alpha}_{k-1})) \mathbf{R}_{k-1,k}) (\mathbf{I} + \text{skew}(\vec{\alpha}_{k-1,k})) \\ &\approx \mathbf{I} + (\mathbf{R}_{k-1,k}^{-1} \text{skew}(\vec{\alpha}_{k-1}) \mathbf{R}_{k-1,k}) + \text{skew}(\vec{\alpha}_{k-1,k}) = \mathbf{I} + \text{skew}(\mathbf{R}_{k-1,k}^{-1} \vec{\alpha}_{k-1} + \vec{\alpha}_{k-1,k}) \end{aligned}$$

Note: This is same as what we could have obtained by substituting in formulas from the "error from frame composition" slides given earlier.

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



104


## Exercise

Suppose that you have

$$\Delta \mathbf{R}_{k-1,k} = \Delta \mathbf{R}(\vec{\mathbf{a}}_k) \cong \mathbf{I} + \text{skew}(\vec{\mathbf{a}}_k)$$

$$\Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{k-1,k} = \vec{\mathbf{e}}_k$$

Work out approximate formulas for  $[\Delta \mathbf{R}_3, \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_3]$  in terms of  $L_k, \vec{\mathbf{r}}_k, \theta_k, \vec{\mathbf{a}}_k$  and  $\vec{\mathbf{e}}_k$ . You should come up with a formula that is linear in  $L_k, \vec{\mathbf{a}}_k$ , and  $\vec{\mathbf{e}}_k$ .



Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics




105

## Exercise

Suppose we want to know error in  $\mathbf{F}_{0,3} = \mathbf{F}_0^{-1} \mathbf{F}_3$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{0,3} &= \mathbf{F}_0^{-1} \mathbf{F}_0 \mathbf{F}_{0,1} \mathbf{F}_{1,2} \mathbf{F}_{2,3} \\ \mathbf{F}_{0,3}^* &= \mathbf{F}_{0,1}^* \mathbf{F}_{1,2}^* \mathbf{F}_{2,3}^* \\ \mathbf{F}_{0,3} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{0,3} &= \mathbf{F}_{0,1}^* \mathbf{F}_{1,2}^* \mathbf{F}_{2,3}^* \\ \Delta \mathbf{F}_3 &= \mathbf{F}_{0,3}^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_0 \mathbf{F}_0 \mathbf{F}_{0,1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{1,2} \mathbf{F}_{1,2} \mathbf{F}_{2,3} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{2,3} \\ &= \mathbf{F}_{2,3}^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{1,2}^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{0,1}^{-1} \mathbf{F}_0 \Delta \mathbf{F}_0 \mathbf{F}_0 \mathbf{F}_{0,1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{1,2} \mathbf{F}_{1,2} \mathbf{F}_{2,3} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{2,3} \\ &= \mathbf{F}_{2,3}^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{1,2}^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_0 \mathbf{F}_0 \mathbf{F}_{0,1} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{1,2} \mathbf{F}_{1,2} \mathbf{F}_{2,3} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{2,3} \end{aligned}$$

Now substitute and simplify



Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



106



### Another Example

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics

107

### Another Example

$$\vec{p}_{Tf} = F_{TU} \bullet \vec{p}_{Uf}$$

$$F_{TU} = F_B \bullet F_{BU}$$

$$= [R_B \bullet R_{BU}, R_B \bullet \vec{p}_{BU} + \vec{p}_B]$$

$$\vec{p}_{Tf} = R_B \bullet R_{BU} \bullet \vec{p}_{Uf} + R_B \bullet \vec{p}_{BU} + \vec{p}_B$$

**Also**

$$\vec{p}_{Tf} = F_B \bullet \vec{p}_{Bf}$$

$$\vec{p}_{Bf} = F_{BU} \bullet \vec{p}_{Uf}$$

$$= R_{BU} \bullet \vec{p}_{Uf} + \vec{p}_{BU}$$

$$\vec{p}_{Tf} = R_B \bullet R_{BU} \bullet \vec{p}_{Uf} + R_B \bullet \vec{p}_{BU} + \vec{p}_B$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics


108

## Another Example

Suppose that the track body to US calibration is not perfect

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{BU}^* &= \mathbf{F}_{BU} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{BU} \\ &= [\mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \mathbf{R}_{BU}, \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} + \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{BU}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf}^* &= \mathbf{F}_{BU}^* \bullet \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} = \mathbf{F}_{BU} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{BU} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} \\ \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} &= \mathbf{F}_{BU} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{BU} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} - \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} \\ &= \mathbf{F}_{BU} (\Delta \mathbf{R}_{BU} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} + \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{BU}) - (\mathbf{R}_{BU} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} + \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{BU}) \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \mathbf{R}_{BU} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} + \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} + \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} - \mathbf{R}_{BU} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} - \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \mathbf{R}_{BU} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} + \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} - \mathbf{R}_{BU} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} \end{aligned}$$



Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



109

## Another Example

Continuing ...

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} &= \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \mathbf{R}_{BU} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} + \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} - \mathbf{R}_{BU} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} \\ &\approx \mathbf{R}_{BU} (\mathbf{I} + \text{skew}(\vec{\alpha}_{BU})) \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} + \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} - \mathbf{R}_{BU} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} \\ &= \cancel{\mathbf{R}_{BU} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf}} + \mathbf{R}_{BU} \bullet \vec{\alpha}_{BU} \times \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} + \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} - \cancel{\mathbf{R}_{BU} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf}} \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{BU} \bullet \vec{\alpha}_{BU} \times \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} + \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} \\ &= -\mathbf{R}_{BU} \bullet \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf} \times \vec{\alpha}_{BU} + \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{BU} \text{skew}(-\vec{\mathbf{p}}_{Uf}) \vec{\alpha}_{BU} + \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} \end{aligned}$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



110

## Another Example

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Tf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Tf} &= \mathbf{F}_B \Delta \mathbf{F}_B (\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf}) \\
 \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Tf} &= \mathbf{F}_B \Delta \mathbf{F}_B (\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf}) - \mathbf{F}_B \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} \\
 \Delta \mathbf{F}_B (\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf}) &= \Delta \mathbf{R}_B (\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf}) + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_B \\
 &\approx (\mathbf{I} + \text{skew}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_B)) (\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf}) + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_B \\
 &= (\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf}) + \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_B \times \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_B \times \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_B \\
 &\approx \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_B \times \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_B \\
 \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Tf} &\approx \mathbf{F}_B (\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_B \times \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_B) - \mathbf{F}_B \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} \\
 &= \mathbf{R}_B (\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_B \times \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_B) + \bar{\mathbf{p}}_B - (\mathbf{R}_B \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \bar{\mathbf{p}}_B) \\
 &= \mathbf{R}_B (\Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_B \times \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_B) \\
 \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} &\approx \mathbf{R}_{BU} \text{skew}(-\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{BU}) \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{BU} + \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{BU}
 \end{aligned}$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



111

## Another Example

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Tf} &\approx \mathbf{R}_B (\Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_B \times \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_B) \\
 \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} &\approx \mathbf{R}_{BU} \text{skew}(-\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{BU}) \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{BU} + \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} \\
 \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Tf} &\approx \mathbf{R}_B (\mathbf{R}_{BU} \text{skew}(-\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{BU}) \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{BU} + \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_B \times \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf} + \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_B) \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_B \mathbf{R}_{BU} \text{skew}(-\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{BU}) \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{BU} + \mathbf{R}_B \mathbf{R}_{BU} \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} \\ + \mathbf{R}_B \text{skew}(-\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf}) \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_B + \mathbf{R}_B \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_B \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \left[ \mathbf{R}_B \mathbf{R}_{BU} \text{skew}(-\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{BU}) \mid \mathbf{R}_B \mathbf{R}_{BU} \mid \mathbf{R}_B \text{skew}(-\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{Bf}) \mid \mathbf{R}_B \right] \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{BU} \\ \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{BU} \\ \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_B \\ \Delta \bar{\mathbf{p}}_B \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Russell H. Taylor Copyright 1996-2022; 600.455/655 lecture notes

JHU Laboratory for Computational Sensing and Robotics



112

## Parametric Sensitivity

Suppose you have an explicit formula like

$$\vec{p}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 \cos(\theta_1) + L_2 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + L_3 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) \\ L_1 \sin(\theta_1) + L_2 \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + L_3 \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and know that the only variation is in parameters like  $L_k$  and  $\theta_k$ . Then you can estimate the variation in  $\vec{p}_3$  as a function of variation in  $L_k$  and  $\theta_k$  by remembering your calculus.

$$\Delta \vec{p}_3 \cong \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \vec{p}_3}{\partial \vec{L}} & \frac{\partial \vec{p}_3}{\partial \vec{\theta}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \vec{L} \\ \Delta \vec{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$



## Parametric Sensitivity

Grinding this out gives:

$$\Delta \vec{p}_3 \cong \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \vec{p}_3}{\partial \vec{L}} & \frac{\partial \vec{p}_3}{\partial \vec{\theta}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \vec{L} \\ \Delta \vec{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\vec{L} = [L_1, L_2, L_3]^T$$

$$\vec{\theta} = [\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3]^T$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{p}_3}{\partial \vec{L}} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta_1) & \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) & \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) \\ \sin(\theta_1) & \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) & \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{p}_3}{\partial \vec{\theta}} = \begin{bmatrix} -L_1 \sin(\theta_1) - L_2 \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) - L_3 \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) & -L_2 \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) - L_3 \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) & -L_3 \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) \\ L_1 \cos(\theta_1) + L_2 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + L_3 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) & L_2 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + L_3 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) & L_3 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



## More generally ...

Suppose that we have a vector function

$$\bar{\mathbf{v}} = \bar{\mathbf{g}}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}) = [g_1(\bar{\mathbf{q}}), \dots, g_m(\bar{\mathbf{q}})]^T$$

of parameters  $\bar{\mathbf{q}} = [q_1, \dots, q_n]$ . Then we can estimate the value of

$$\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \Delta\bar{\mathbf{v}} = \bar{\mathbf{g}}(\bar{\mathbf{q}} + \Delta\bar{\mathbf{q}})$$

by

$$\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \Delta\bar{\mathbf{v}} \approx \bar{\mathbf{g}}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}) + \mathbf{J}_g(\bar{\mathbf{q}}) \bullet \Delta\bar{\mathbf{q}}$$

where

$$\mathbf{J}_g(\bar{\mathbf{q}}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial q_1} & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial q_j} & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial q_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_l}{\partial q_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial g_l}{\partial q_j} & \dots & \frac{\partial g_l}{\partial q_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_m}{\partial q_1} & \frac{\partial g_m}{\partial q_j} & \frac{\partial g_m}{\partial q_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

