



# Robotic Joint Replacement Surgery

Russell H. Taylor, Peter Kazanzides

Center for Computer-Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology  
The Johns Hopkins University  
3400 N. Charles Street; Baltimore, Md. 21218  
rht@jhu.edu


Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



1

## My introduction to medical robotics: Robotic Hip and Knee Replacement




Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor


Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



2




4



10

## Hip and Knee Implants



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



11

## ROBODOC® (Integrated Surgical Systems)

- **History**
  - Veterinary use (IBM prototype, '90)
  - Clinical use (US '92 Europe, '94)
  - Marketed in Europe, Asia
  - 30 systems in Europe & Japan (9/'00)
- **Total Hip Replacement (THR)**
  - First clinical case 1992
  - ~ 8000 primary, ~300 revisions (9/'00)
  - ➡ No fractures or other complications due to robot (9/'00)
- **Total Knee Replacement (TKR)**
  - First clinical case March 2000
  - ~ 30 cases as of September 2000
  - ➡ No fractures or other complications



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



12

## Integrated Surgical Systems Company History

- Founded 1990
- Robodoc system milestones
  - 1<sup>st</sup> Canine THR - 1990
  - 1<sup>st</sup> Human THR - 1992
  - 1<sup>st</sup> European THR - 1994
  - European CEmark - 1996
  - Pinless THR - 1998
  - TKR - 2000
- Other Company milestones
  - IPO - 1997
  - Neuromate Acquisition - 1997
  - Suspended operations - 2005
  - Resumed operations - 2006
  - Assets sold to Novatrix - 7/2007
  - FDA Approval for hip – 2008
  - Robodoc now owned by Curexo
  - New name: Think Robotics



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor


Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology




13

## Other Robotic THR & TKR Systems (Partial List)

- “Conventional” serial link arms
  - Northwestern; U. Washington; U. Tokyo; Rizzoli Institute; Grenoble
- Parallel link approaches
  - Aachen; Technion; KAIST; Mazor
- Cooperative Control
  - Grenoble (PaDyc)
  - Imperial College (ACROBOT)
  - Stryker (Mako Rio)
- Freehand Navigation-Assisted
  - Smith & Nephew



Mitsuishi *et al.* (U. Tokyo)



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



15




## Other Robotic THR & TKR Systems (Partial List)

- “Conventional” serial link arms
  - Northwestern; U. Washington; U. Tokyo; Rizzoli Institute; Grenoble
- Parallel link approaches
  - Aachen; Technion; KAIST; Mazor
- Cooperative Control
  - Grenoble (PaDyc)
  - Imperial College (ACROBOT)
  - Mako robotics
- Freehand Navigation-Assisted
  - Smith and Nephew



D. S. Kwon, J. J. Lee, Y. S. Yoon, S. Y. Ko, J. Kim, J. H. Chung, C. H. Won, and J. H. Kim, "The Mechanism and the Registration Method of a Surgical Robot for Hip Arthroplasty," presented at IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, 1889-2949, 2002.



D. Glozman & M. Shoham

Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



16

## Other Robotic THR & TKR Systems (Partial List)

- “Conventional” serial link arms
  - Northwestern; U. Washington; U. Tokyo; Rizzoli Institute; Grenoble
- Parallel link approaches
  - Aachen; Technion; KAIST; Mazor
- Cooperative Control
  - Grenoble (PaDyc)
  - Imperial College (ACROBOT)
  - Stryker (Mako Rio)
- Freehand Navigation-Assisted
  - Smith & Nephew (Blue Belt Technologies)



ACROBOT surgical robot

Mako Robotics Rio (Stryker)  
<http://www.makosurgical.com/>

Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



17

## Other Robotic THR & TKR Systems (Partial List)

- “Conventional” serial link arms
  - Northwestern; U. Washington; U. Tokyo; Rizzoli Institute; Grenoble
- Parallel link approaches
  - Aachen; Technion; KAIST; Mazor
- Cooperative Control
  - Grenoble (PaDyc)
  - Imperial College (ACROBOT)
  - Stryker (Mako Rio)
- **Freehand Navigation-Assisted**
  - Smith and Nephew (Blue Belt)



Blue Belt Technologies: <http://www.bluebelltech.com/>  
(Now owned by Smith and Nephew)




PFS® devices “snap on” to existing drill platforms. The PFS® instrument has been designed for use in neurosurgical and orthopedic applications.

Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



18



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



20

## Conventional THR Planning

- Based on patient x-rays
- Surgeon selects implant design based on acetate overlays
- Difficulty in gauging magnification
- Placement determined in the OR



Integrated Surgical Systems marketing video

Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



23

## Conventional Total hip replacement



Integrated Surgical Systems marketing video


Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



24

## Issues with conventional method




Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



25



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor


Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



26

## Robodoc THR Planning

- Implant pins in hip, knee (original, "pin version" only)
- CT scan patient
- Load images into workstation
- Resample images to produce cross-sections aligned with bone
- Select implant
- Place implant
- Output cutter file (in CT coordinates)



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor


Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



28

## Robodoc THR Planning

- Implant pins in hip, knee (original, "pin version" only)
- CT scan patient
- Load images into workstation
- Resample images to produce cross-sections aligned with bone
- Select implant
- Place implant
- Output cutter file (in CT coordinates)




Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology




29






31



33

## Robodoc total hip replacement



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



35

### Key Step: Registration

- Establishing a transformation (conversion) from one coordinate system to another
  - CT coordinates (preoperative plan)
  - Robot coordinates (surgery)
- ➔ Allows the robot to cut the implant in the position planned by the surgeon.

Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



36

## Pin-Based Registration

- Surgery to implant pins (bone screws) prior to CT
- Planning software detects pins in CT coordinates
- Robot finds pins in Robot coordinates
- Software computes transformation between CT coordinates and robot coordinates
- Software uses transformation to convert planned implant position (CT coordinates) to surgical position of bone (Robot coordinates)


Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



37

## Robodoc total hip replacement




Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



38

## Robodoc total hip replacement




Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



40

## Robodoc total hip replacement




Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



41

## Movies



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



42

## Pin-Based Registration

- + Easy to implement
- + Easy to use
- + Very accurate (if pins far enough away from each other)
- + Very reliable
- Requires extra surgery
- Causes knee pain in many patients

Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology




43



## Pinless Registration

- More complex (point-to-surface matching)
- Surgeon creates surface model of bone from preoperative CT (semi-automatic software).
- Surgeon uses digitizing device to collect bone surface points intraoperatively.
- Software ensures good distribution of points
- Surgeon verifies result



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



45

## Movies



Pinless Registration Step


Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



46

## ROBODOC: *Feature-Based Registration*



- ✓ Accurate
- ✓ No Pre-Op Surgery
- ✓ No Post-Op Knee Pain from Fiducial
- ✗ Extra Incisions Near Knee

Slide credit: Seth Billings

Figures: <http://synapse.koreamed.org/DOIx.php?id=10.4055/cios.2013.5.1.1&vmode=PUBREADER#lpo=26.0000> slide from Peter Kazanzides


Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



47

## New Approach: *Feature-Based Registration with Tracked Ultrasound*



Sample Proximal Bone with Tracked Pointer

Sample Distal Bone with Tracked Ultrasound



- ✓ Accurate
- ✓ No Pre-Op Surgery
- ✓ No Post-Op Knee Pain from Fiducial
- ✓ No Extra Incisions Near Knee

Slide credit: Seth Billings


Figures: <http://synapse.koreamed.org/DOIx.php?id=10.4055/cios.2013.5.1.1&vmode=PUBREADER#lpo=26.0000>  
[http://img.medicalexpo.com/images\\_me/photo-g/array-ultrasound-transducer-linear-70298-4700463.jpg](http://img.medicalexpo.com/images_me/photo-g/array-ultrasound-transducer-linear-70298-4700463.jpg)

Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor


Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



48




49



50

## Leverage from Surgical CAD/CAM in Robotic THR

- **Better planning**
- **Ability to carry out the plan**
  - Accurate shape
  - Accurate placement
  - Limited forces
  - Reduced complications
  - Shape flexibility
  - Consistent execution
- **Process learning**



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor


Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



51

## Leverage from Surgical CAD/CAM in Robotic THR

- **Better planning**
- **Ability to carry out the plan**
  - Accurate shape
  - Accurate placement
  - Limited forces
  - Reduced complications
  - Shape flexibility
  - Consistent execution
- **Process learning**



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



52

## Leverage from Surgical CAD/CAM in Robotic THR

- **Better planning**
- **Ability to carry out the plan**
  - Accurate shape
  - Accurate placement
  - Limited forces
  - Reduced complications
  - Shape flexibility
  - Consistent execution
- **Process learning**




Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



53

## Robodoc® Total Knee Replacement



Photos: Think Robotics and Integrated Surgical systems




Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology




55





56



57

## Some useful web links

- Acrobot: <http://www.acrobot.co.uk>
- Mako: <http://www.makosurgical.com>
- Robodoc: <http://www.robodoc.com>
- Blue Belt: <http://www.bluebelttech.com>
- Zimmer: <http://www.zimmer.com>

Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor


Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



58

## Fundamental Challenges

- **Geometric Challenge**
  - Align mechanical axes
- **Functional Challenge**
  - Balance ligaments
    - Mobility
    - Stability




Thanks to Eric Stindel, MD, Ph.D.  
Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



59

## Ligament Balancing



•Lift-off = wear

•Instability


Thanks to Eric Stindel, MD, Ph.D.  
Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



60

## Ligament Balancing




Thanks to Eric Stindel, MD, Ph.D.  
Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



61

## Ligament Balancing




Thanks to Eric Stindel, MD, Ph.D.  
Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



62

## Ligament Balancing



Thanks to Eric Stindel, MD, Ph.D.  
Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor


Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



63

## Ligament Balancing

• Well align knee (HKA ~ 180°): Insufficient cuts



Thanks to Eric Stindel, MD, Ph.D.  
Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor


Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



64

## Ligament Balancing

• Well align knee (HKA ~ 180°): Insufficient cuts



• Excessive constraint

Thanks to Eric Stindel, MD, Ph.D.  
Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor


Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



65



## Ligament Balancing




Thanks to Eric Stindel, MD, Ph.D.  
Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



66

## Ligament Balancing




Thanks to Eric Stindel, MD, Ph.D.  
Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



67

## Ligament Balancing



Thanks to Eric Stindel, MD, Ph.D.  
Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



68

## Manual Instrumentation (with navigation markers)




Thanks to Eric Stindel, MD, Ph.D.  
Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology




69

## Surgical Navigation Systems



70

## Navigated Cutting Guides




Thanks to Eric Stindel, MD, Ph.D.  
Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology

71

## Navigated Cutting Guides



Thanks to Eric Stindel, MD, Ph.D.  
Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



72

## Robodoc® Total Knee Replacement



Robot follows preplanned cutting path after registration

Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



73

## Mako Rio System (Stryker)



Hand-over-hand cooperative control with constraints

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Wun4AJcFZSw>

Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



75

## Blue Belt freehand system (Smith & Nephew)



Hand-held navigated cutter with detachable shield that enables cutting based on location with respect to the bone

<http://www.bluebelttech.com/videos.php>

Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor


Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology




76

## Case Study: Robodoc Early History

- Although the experiences here are quite old, this account is still very useful as a case study illustrating the extended path from early bench prototypes through commercial deployment



1988



1990



1992



1995-2002

Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



78

## Robodoc Early History (as seen by Peter Kazanzides)

- Ph.D. EE, Brown University (Robotics)
- Post-doc at IBM T.J. Watson Research Ctr.
- Visiting Engineer at UC Davis
- Founder and Director of Robotics and Software at Integrated Surgical Systems
- Chief Systems and Robotics Engineer at JHU ERC for CISST



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology




79




## ROBODOC Benefits

- Intended benefits:
  - Increased dimensional accuracy
  - Increased placement accuracy
  - More consistent outcome



Broach



Robot

Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



80

## ROBODOC History

1986-1988 Feasibility study and proof of concept at U.C. Davis and IBM



1988-1990 Development of canine system  
May 2, 1990 First canine surgery



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology




81



## ROBODOC History

1990-1995	Human clinical prototype
Nov 1, 1990	Formation of ISS
Nov 7, 1992	First human surgery, Sutter General Hospital
Aug 1994	First European surgery, BGU Frankfurt



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor


Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



82

## ROBODOC History

1995-2002	ROBODOC in Europe and Asia
March 1996	C System design completed
April 1996	First 2 installations (Germany)
Nov 1996	ISS initial public offering (NASDAQ)
March 1998	First pinless hip surgery
Feb 2000	First knee replacement surgery



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



83

## ROBODOC History

### 2003-2007

Oct 2003	Class action lawsuit in Germany
June 2005	ISS “ceases operations”
June 2006	German high court ruling against plaintiff
Sept 2006	ISS resumes operations
June 2007	ISS sells assets to Novatrix Biomedical

### ROBODOC RIP

### 2007-present

Sept 2007	Curexo Technology formed (Novatrix)
Sept 2007	Curexo files 510(K) with FDA
Aug 2008	Robodoc receives FDA approval (for hip replacement surgery)
	Company now operates in the US as Think Surgical

### ROBODOC reborn

Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



84

## ROBODOC Status

- Approximately 50 systems were installed worldwide
  - Europe (Germany, Austria, Switz., France, Spain)
  - Asia (Japan, Korea, India)
  - U.S. (Clinical trial for FDA approval)
- Over 20,000 hip and knee replacement surgeries
- ROBODOC no longer used in Europe
- One Korean hospital uses system regularly – claim 2,500 surgeries/year
- Company purchased by Korean company; now operates as Think Robotics



Copyright © 2021 R. H. Taylor

Engineering Research Center for Computer Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology



85

## User Studies of ROBODOC THR

- In-vitro tests (cadavers and synthetic bone)
  - Compare robot and manual techniques
  - Evaluate parameters unique to robot technique
- Controlled clinical trials
  - Small studies comparing robot and manual techniques
- Reports of clinical experience
  - Large number of patients, no control group



## In-Vitro Test Results

- Several studies showed that ROBODOC achieves more accurate placement
  - Is this clinically relevant?
- Other studies found that implant stability after robotic surgery is not always better than after manual surgery
  - Implies sub-optimal specification of implant cavity



## Controlled Clinical Trials

- Two multi-center clinical trials in U.S. (pin-based and pinless)
- One clinical trial in Germany (pin-based)
- One clinical trial in Japan (pin-based)



## Clinical Trial Results

- Robot procedure is longer than manual procedure
- In some cases, less postoperative pain in robot group
- + Radiographic analysis showed better position and fit for robot group
- + Fewer intraoperative fractures in robot group
- German study had a higher revision rate (due to dislocations) for robot group
  - Result of bad surgical plans





## Commercial System Lessons

- Robot should either save time (money) or provide substantial clinical benefit (enable new procedures).
- Registration should not require an additional surgery.
- Further size reduction is necessary.
- Robot must interface with other devices in the operating room of the future.

