



Visual Tracking of Surgical Tools in Retinal Surgery using Particle Filtering

Group 14 William Yang and David Li Presenter: David Li Mentor: Dr. Rogerio Richa





Project Description

- Goal: Develop a direct visual tracking method for retinal surgical tools using particle filtering and mutual information
- Advantages:
 - Particle filter is computationally efficient and robust
 - Mutual information performs better than SSD and NCC in many cases
- Chose an application which could benefit from this new tracking method



Project Background

• Vitreoretinal surgery treats problems with retina, macula, and vitreous fluid

ERC | CISST

- Many complications due to fragility of retina, indirect visualization, and physiological tremor
- Long operating times and risks of surgical error







Research Paper

Adaptive Multispectral Illumination for Retinal Microsurgery

Raphael Sznitman, Diego Rother, Jim Handa, Peter Gehlbach, Gregory D. Hager, and Russell Taylor Johns Hopkins University MICCAI 2010





Summary

- Problem:
 - White light causes phototoxicity but is needed for color
 - Current algorithms use constant white-light illumination rate
- Key result: Developed a novel visualization system that significantly reduces the phototoxicity by using a minimization to determine when to use white light.
- Significance:
 - Less white light leads to lower phototoxicity risk
 - Possible quantification of both image quality and phototoxicity





Background

- Current vitreoretinal surgical procedures use constant white-light illumination
 - Reported frequency of phototoxicity: 7% to 28%
- Short wavelengths have greater damaging potential
- Use xenon and mercury







Background

- Visualization system developed by Sznitman et al. to reduce phototoxicity
 - Illumination is deactivated in between frames
 - Some frames are illuminated with red or IR light
- To aid surgeon, a coloring system (ASR) also developed to color monochrome frames



http://www.cis.jhu.edu/~diroth/Research/sznitman2010ipcai.pdf





Background

- Active Scene Rendering coloring algorithm
 - Compute transformation of eye between frames using SIFT features
 - Segment out tool using pose estimation
 - Transform G,B channels from previous color image and add to R channel from monochrome
 - Add color tool model using estimated pose





Problem + Hypothesis

- Extreme cases using constant white-light rate
 - No movement of retina: too much white light
 - Large movement of retina: too little white light
- Is it possible to vary the rate in an automated manner to minimize both phototoxicity and surgical error?
 - Yes, using cost function analysis





Cost Functions

- Surgeon impairment cost
 - Assumed error in G,B channels same as R channel
 - Above critical value $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0$ image does not deteriorate further

$$S(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \epsilon > \epsilon^* \\ \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon^*} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\epsilon_t^R = ||M_t^R - M_{t_w}^R||_2$$





Cost Functions

- Phototoxicity cost
 - Modeled recent light exposure $\psi(L_t)$ as exponential loss
 - Above threshold value L^{*}, no further damage can be done

$$T(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t}}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \varphi(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t}}) > L^* \\ e^{\frac{-(\varphi(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t}}) - L^*)^2}{2}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$





Cost Functions

- To choose illumination for next frame, minimize sum of phototoxicity and surgeon impairment costs
 - Weighted by λ , adjusted by surgeon to emphasize phototoxicity or video quality
 - Quite simple because there are only two illumination settings for the next frame

$$L_{t+1} = \arg\min_{L} E(\mathbf{L}_{t+1}, \hat{\epsilon}_{t+1}) = \arg\min_{L} \{(1-\lambda)S(\hat{\epsilon}_{t+1}) + \lambda T(\mathbf{L}_{t+1})\}.$$

(1-\lambda) S(\lambda_{t+1}) + \lambda (T([L_t; 1]) - T([L_t; 0])) \ge 0





AASR Coloring Algorithm

- Adaptive active scene rendering
- Detect and segment the tool using a 3D tool model + pose estimation
- Add the G,B channels from the previous color image to R channel from monochrome
- Estimate errors and choose illumination type (white light vs. red light) for following frame



Validation and Analysis

 Recorded 5 image sequences of membrane peelings on phantom eyes using white light

ERC | CISST

- Ran AASR with three settings and ASR with four settings
- Surgeon impairment error: Mean squared error
- Phototoxicity error:
 Proportion of white light used



http://www.cis.jhu.edu/~diroth/Research/sznitman2010miccai.pdf





Conclusion

- Effective automatic minimization of both phototoxicity and error from coloring differences using cost functions and the AASR coloring algorithm
- Weighted sum of phototoxicity cost and surgical impairment cost can be altered by surgeon for individual operation control





Personal Thoughts

- Positive comments
 - Innovative method
- Areas for improvement
 - Improvement on experimental validation
 - Pose estimation for tool may be too inefficient





Future Research

- Parameters can be adjusted to possibly empirically determine specific cost functions rather than models
- Incorporation of particle filtering using mutual information to improve in vivo tool tracking and segmentation
 - Tool tracking required for many applications, key method





Reading List

- Sznitman, R., Rother, D., Handa, J., Gehlbach, P., Hager, G.D., Taylor, R.: Adaptive multispectral illumination for retinal microsurgery. In: Jiang, T., Navab, N., Pluim, J.P.W., Viergever, M.A. (eds.) MICCAI 2010. LNCS, vol. 6363, pp. 465–472. Springer, Heidelberg (2010)
- Sznitman, R., Billings, S., Rother, D., Mirota, D., Yang, Y., Handa, J., Gehlbach, P., Kang, J., Hager, G., Taylor, R.: Active multispectral illumination and image fusion for retinal microsurgery. In: Navab, N., Jannin, P. (eds.) IPCAI 2010. LNCS, vol. 6135, pp. 12–22. Springer, Heidelberg (2010)
- Ham, W.J., Mueller, H., Ruffolo, J.J., Guerry, D., Guerry, R.: Action spectrum for retinal injury from near-ultraviolet radiation in the aphakic monkey. Am. J.Ophthalmol. 93, 299–306 (1982)





Questions?