International Journal of Radiation Oncology biology • physics

www.redjournal.org

Project 15 Seminar: 'Bioluminescence Tomography-Guided Radiation Therapy for Preclinical Research'

PAPER BY: BIN ZHANG, KEN WANG, ET AL

PRESENTATION BY: ALAN CHAM

Relation to Project 15

Project 15 Goal(s): Incorporate organ-specific optical information into BLT reconstruction for SARRP

- Mouse segmentation and optical property LUT
- Experiment with virtual and implanted light source

Article Selection:

- Recent prior work on the SARRP
- Describes background/context of Project 15



Problem

- •Need to validate accuracy of BLT reconstruction algorithm
- •Need to demonstrate delivery of BLTguided radiation therapy with SARRP

Key Results

- •Phantom experiments:
 - Average 3D offset: **0.6 +/- 0.1 mm**
- •Mouse carcass experiments:
 - Average 3D offset: **1.0 +/- 0.6 mm**
- •In vivo experiments:
 - Good match between BLI and BLT

Background: SARRP

- Preclinical research tool
- •Scaled down imaging and radiotherapy for small animal models:
 - CBCT to guide radiation therapy
- •Hard to localize small/low contrast targets
 - Cost/Bulk Considerations \Rightarrow BLT
 - Applicable to genetically engineered mouse models
 - Dock into existing SARRP



Background: BLT

- 'Bioluminescence Tomography'
- •True source position not evident in BLI AP view
 - No depth information
- Reconstruct 3D bioluminescent source distribution from 2D BLI
- •Used in conjunction with 3D mesh from CBCT



Background: BLT



Avoid Biasing toward Longer Wavelengths

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\varphi}(\lambda_k) = \varphi(\lambda_k) / \max(\varphi(\lambda_k)) \\ \overline{G}(\lambda_k) = \widetilde{G}(\lambda_k) / \max(\varphi(\lambda_k)) \end{cases}$$

BLT Minimization Problem

$$\min_{s} \frac{1}{2} \|\overline{G}s - \overline{\varphi}\|_{2}^{2} + \tau \|s\|_{1}$$

Regularization Term

Iterative Region Shrinking Strategy
Initial Nodes
Iterations = 20

$$\beta = (N_1/N_f)^{1/(N_{it}-1)}$$

Permissible Region
Reduction Factor
Objective Function to Choose Solution

$$f_i = \sum \left\| \overline{G} s^{(i)} - \overline{\varphi} \right\|_1$$

Experiments: Phantom and Carcass



Results: Phantom and Carcass



Results: Phantom and Carcass

PHANTOM

4 independent experiments

Reconstruction:

- •Largest COM deviation between BLT and CT results along z-axis: **0.6 mm**
- •Average 3D offset 0.6 +/- 0.1 mm

Irradiation:

- •Centers of BLT and CBCT x-y offset < 0.2 mm
- •Largest offset along z-axis: 0.6 mm

CARCASS

3 independent carcass experiments

Reconstruction:

- •Largest COM deviation between BLT and CT results along z-axis: **0.8 mm**
- •Average 3D offset: 1.0 +/- 0.6 mm

Irradiation:

- •Centers of BLT and CBCT x-y offset < **0.2 mm**
- •Largest offset along z-axis: 0.8 mm

Experiment: In Vivo

EXPERIMENT 1:

Two 2x6 mm Trigalight sources in abdomen



EXPERIMENT 2:

Subcutaneous tumor, firefly PC3-Luc



Results: In Vivo

EXPERIMENT 1:

Deviations of BLT-reconstructed COM:

- Source 1: 0.8 mm
- Source 2: 0.9 mm

EXPERIMENT 2:

2D BLI believed to represent true location, since tumor is subcutaneous and palpable



Assessment/Concluding Thoughts

Good and Bad

- COM metric for accuracy
 - Additional assessment for in vivo experiment
- •Optical homogeneity (phantom vs carcass)
 - Abdomen
- 1 mm targeting accuracy in phantom and carcass models
- •Same properties for all carcasses
- Covered some variation in source materials, geometries, number

Possible Next Steps

- •Different source geometries
- Different source placements
- •Diffuse optical tomography or organ specific properties to address heterogeneity

Reference

Bin Zhang, Ken Kang-Hsin Wang, Jingjing Yu, Sohrab Eslami, Iulian Iordachita, Juvenal Reyes, Reem Malek, Phuoc T. Tran, Michael S. Patterson, and John W. Wong. "Bioluminescence Tomography-Guided Radiation Therapy for Preclinical Research". International Journal of Radiation Oncology*Biology*Physics.