

# **Tool Gravity Compensation and Deflection Characterization for the Galen Micro-Surgical System**

Computer Integrated Surgery II

*Spring*, 2019

Adam Polevoy and Parth Singh, under the auspices of Dr. Mahya Shahbazi and Dr. Russel Taylor



**ERC-CISST** 

- The Galen is a general purpose, hand-over-hand, admittance control robot developed mainly for otolaryngology.
- The Galen uses admittance control, where it measures the force a surgeon exerts on a tool and moves the tool proportionally.
- The Galen actively being developed and commercialized by the Galen Robotics company and is the subject of many research studies in the LCSR.
- Our goals during this project included
  - Developing and integrating gravity compensation functions for static  $\bullet$ and dynamic cases.
  - Characterizing deflection of the robot end effector.



#### Fig. 1. Galen Mark 1 Surgical Robot

### 4. Outcomes and Results

#### **Gravity Compensation**

- Gravity compensation was successfully integrated into both existing versions of the robot.
- Our model resulted in a near zero compensated value at any arbitrary position.
- Additionally, an automated system for tool weight and center of mass regression was integrated.



**GALEN ROBOTICS** 

Fig. 6. Compensated vs uncompensated force values at varying positions

### **Deflection Characterization**

- We successfully collected 8 data sets for model training
- We found that the robot suffered from a hysteresis issue where it did not move back to its original position after a large force had been applied.
- We trained an Long Short-Term Memory Recurrent Neural Network, the configuration of which can be seen below.

### 2. The Problem

- Gravity Compensation
  - The Robot's force sensor has no way of distinguishing between the forces exerted by the surgeon and those exerted by the tool itself.
  - This can result in unwanted motion that would be detrimental during surgery.
  - The current solution to allow the surgeons to manually remove the current recorded tool weight from the sensor readings.
  - As soon as a tool is moved to a different orientation, the problem reappears.
- **Deflection Characterization**
- Due to flexibility in the robot's material and structure, there is a discrepancy between the calculated position of the robot and the true position.
- This is a problem for automated tasks and virtual fixtures, as the robot may move into areas that can cause damage to the patient.

## **3.** The Solution

#### **Gravity Compensation**

- Gravity compensation depends on the weight and the center of mass (CoM) of each tool.
- There is an additional weight and CoM due to the tool adaptor, referred to as the bias, that must be calculated.
- These parameters can be regressed by moving the end effector to a variety of positions and collecting the force sensor readings.
- The following equations are then used:

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(1)})^{T} - R_{ts}(\vec{0})^{T} \\ \dots \\ R_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(56)})^{T} - R_{ts}(\vec{0})^{T} \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{s}^{(1)} \\ \dots \\ f_{s}^{(56)} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$f_{b} = (A_{1}^{T}A_{1})^{-1}A_{1}^{T}Y_{1}$$

$$A_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(1)})^{T} \\ \dots \\ R_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(56)})^{T} \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{s}^{(1)} - (R_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(1)})^{T} - R_{ts}(\vec{0})^{T})f_{b} \\ \dots \\ f_{s}^{(56)} - (R_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(56)})^{T} - R_{ts}(\vec{0})^{T})f_{b} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$f_{t} = (A_{3}^{T}A_{3})^{-1}A_{3}^{T}Y_{3}$$

- Our model was not capable of predicting this hysteresis issue.
- The training error was as low as 9.483 mm. One example set is shown in Figure 8



### **5. Future Work**

- Further work needs to be done to fully characterize deflection, including additional data collection and attempting additional model parameters.
- Gravity compensation for tools with cable drag and moving parts will need to be implemented.

#### 6. Lessons Learned

 $\begin{bmatrix} (R_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(1)})^T - R_{ts}(\vec{0})^T)\hat{f}_b(-R_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(1)})) \\ \dots \\ (R_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(56)})^T - R_{ts}(\vec{0})^T)\hat{f}_b(-R_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(56)})) \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_s^{(1)} \\ \dots \\ \tau_s^{(56)} \end{bmatrix} \quad A_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -R_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(1)})^T\hat{f}_tR_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(1)}) \\ \dots \\ -R_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(56)})^T\hat{f}_tR_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(56)}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_s^{(1)} - (R_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(1)})^T - R_{ts}(\vec{0})^T)\hat{f}_b(-R_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(1)})) \\ \dots \\ \tau_s^{(56)} \end{bmatrix}$  $p_{sb} = (A_2^T A_2)^{-1} A_2^T Y_2$ 

Fig. 2. Equations for bias weight and CoM Regression

 $p_{st} = (A_4^T A_4)^{-1} A_4^T Y_4$ 

Fig. 3. Equations for tool weight and CoM Regression

• The force and torque readings of the tool at an orientation can then be predicted by following equations:

• The predicted forces can then be removed from the read values  $F_{s,prediction} = Ad_{g_{ts}(\vec{\theta}^{(i)})}^T F_t + Ad_{g_{bs}(\vec{\theta}^{(i)})}^T F_b - Ad_{g_{bs}(\vec{0})}^T F_b$ 

 $Ad_{g(\vec{\theta}^{(i)})} = \begin{bmatrix} R(\vec{\theta}^{(i)}) & \hat{p}R(\vec{\theta}^{(i)}) \\ 0_{3x3} & R(\vec{\theta}^{(i)}) \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $g(\vec{\theta}^{(i)}) = (R(\vec{\theta}^{(i)}), p)$ 

 $F_{s,compensated} = F_{s,uncompensated} - F_{s,prediction}$ 

### **Deflection Characterization**

Fig. 4. Equations for Gravity Compensation

- In order to calculate the true position of the robot we use an optical tracker, a tracker tool attached to the end effector, and a fiducial tracker attached to the robot body.
- We assume that the robot position is initially correct and calculate the Frame transformation between the base and the fiducial.
- We then use that to calculate the true position of the tool
- We moved to several different positions, collecting the expected position, the true position, the joint values, and the force sensor readings.
- We then trained a neural network to calculate the true position.



Fig. 5 The various robot frames required to calculate deflection

- We learned how to quickly trace through code to find workflows and values, along with the importance of documentation.
- We learned the importance of analyzing training data before developing models, as we found that some of data sets for deflection characterization were missing parts of their sequences.

### 7. Work Distribution

- Adam lead data analysis, model development, and worked on model integration.
- Parth wrote data collection code, worked on model integration, and developed the machine learning models.

### 8. Acknowledgement

• Special thanks to the Galen employees, along with Dr. Shahbazi and Dr. Taylor for their support and mentorship.

#### 9. References

Beer, Randall F., et al. "Development and evaluation of a gravity compensated training environment for robotic rehabilitation of post-stroke reaching." Proc. 2nd IEEE RAS & EMBS International Conference on Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics (BioRob). 2008.

D. A. Fresonke, E. Hernandez and D. Tesar, "Deflection prediction for serial manipulators," Proceedings. 1988 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1988, pp. 482-487 vol.1.

Dimeas, Fotios & Aspragathos, Nikos. (2015). Learning optimal variable admittance control for rotational motion in human-robot co-manipulation. IFAC-PapersOnLine. 48. 10.1016/j.ifacol.2015.12.021.

Feng, Allen L., et al. "The robotic ENT microsurgery system: A novel robotic platform for microvascular surgery." The Laryngoscope 127.11 (2017): 2495-2500. Kim, Woo Young & Han, Sanghoon & Park, Sukho & Park, Jong-Oh & Ko, Seong Young. (2013). Tool Gravity Compensation for Maneuverability Enhancement of Interactive Robot Control for

Bone Fracture Reduction System.

Lehmann, Tavakoli, Usmani, and Sloboda, "Force-Sensor-Based Estimation of Needle Tip Deflection in Brachytherapy," Journal of Sensors, vol. 2013, Article ID 263153, 10 pages, 2013. Luo, Ren C., Y. Yi Chun, and Yi W. Perng. "Gravity compensation and compliance based force control for auxiliarily easiness in manipulating robot arm." Control Conference (ASCC), 2011 8th Asian. IEEE, 2011.

Olds, Kevin. *Robotic Assistant Systems for Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*. Diss. 2015.